DMUS-MP-13/22

DRINFEL'D BASIS OF TWISTED YANGIANS

S. BELLIARD AND V. REGELSKIS

arXiv:1401.2143v1 [math.QA] 9 Jan 2014

Abstract. We present a quantization of a Lie bi-ideal structure for twisted half-loop algebras of finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebras. We obtain Drinfel'd basis formalism and algebra closure relations of twisted Yangians for all symmetric pairs of simple Lie algebras and for simple twisted even half-loop Lie algebras. We also give an explicit form of twisted Yangians in Drinfel'd basis for the $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ Lie algebra.

1. Introduction

Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a flat quantization of the half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+ \cong \mathfrak{g}[u]$ of a finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ [Dri 85]. The name Yangian is due to V. G. Drinfel'd to honour C. N. Yang who found the simplest solution of the Yang-Baxter equation, the rational R matrix [Yan 67] (see also [Bax 72, Bax 82]). This R matrix and the Yang-Baxter equation were discovered in the studies of the exactly solvable two dimensional statistical models and quantum integrable systems. One of the most important result was the quantization of the inverse scattering method by Leningrad's school [FST 79] that lead to the formulation of quantum groups in the so-called RTT formalism [FRT 89]. These quantum groups are deformations of semi-simple Lie algebras and are closely associated to quantum integrable systems. In particular, the representation theory of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(sl_2)$, which is one of the simplest examples of an infinite dimensional quantum groups, is used to solve the rational 6-vertex statistical model [Bax 82], the XXX Heisenberg spin chain [FadTak 81], the principal chiral field model with the $SU(2)$ symmetry group [FadRes 86, Mac 04].

The mathematical formalism for quantum groups and for quantization of Lie bi-algebras was presented by Drinfel'd in his seminal work [Dri 85] (see also [Dri 87]). Drinfel'd gave a quantization procedure for the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ for any semi-simple Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.[1] The quantization is based on the Lie bi-algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ given by a skew symmetric map $\delta : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \wedge \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, the cocommutator. A quantization of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ is a (topological) Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$, such that $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})/\hbar\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \cong \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ as a Hopf algebra and

$$\delta(x) = \frac{\Delta_\hbar(X) - \sigma \circ \Delta_\hbar(X)}{\hbar}\Big|_{\hbar\to 0}$$

where $\sigma \circ (a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$ and X is any lifting of $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. The Lie bi-algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be constructed from the Manin triple $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ are isotropic subalgebras of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+ \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_- = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ as a vector space and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_- \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+^*$, the dual of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+$. Then the commutation relations of the quantum group can be obtained by requiring $\Delta_\hbar$ to be a homomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$. The question of the existence of such quantization for any Lie bi-algebra was raised by Drinfeld in [Dri 92] and was answered by P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan in [EtiKaz 96]. They proved that any finite or infinite dimensional Lie bi-algebra admits a quantization. Here we will consider only the Yangian case, $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathcal{L}^+$. We will use the so-called *Drinfel'd basis* approach which is very convenient to approach the quantization problem.

In physics, quantum groups are related to unbounded quantum integrable models and their extensions to models with boundaries. The underlying symmetry of the models with boundaries is given by coideal subalgebras of quantum groups that were introduced in the context of 1+1 dimensional quantum field theories on a half-line by Cherednick [Che 84] and in the context of one dimensional spin chains with boundaries by Sklyanin [Skl 88] in the so-called *reflection algebra* formalism. Mathematical aspects of reflection algebras in the RTT formalism, called twisted Yangians, were first considered by G. Olshasnkii in [Ols 90] and were

[1] Throughout this work we will use $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to denote any Lie algebra, while the undecorated $\mathfrak{g}$ will be reserved for denoting finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebras.

further explored in [MNO 96, MolRag 02]. A similar approach for the q-deformed universal enveloping and quantum affine Lie algebras, $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, was considered in [MRS 03, BasBel 11, GCM 13].

A slightly different approach to coideal subalgebras, using *Serre-Chevalley basis* of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ was surveyed by G. Letzter in [Le 02]. Here coideal subalgebras of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{g})$ were constructed using quantum symmetric pairs, introduced in [Ko 93], and have been classified for all finite dimensional semi-simple Lie algebras. For quantum affine Lie algebras of type A first examples of coideal algebras in the *Serre-Chevalley basis* were the q-Onsager algebra [Bas 05] and the generalized q-Onsager algebras [BasBel 10]. A generalization of quantum symmetric pairs for infinite dimensional Lie algebras of type A was proposed in [Reg 12] and the general theory of quantum symmetric pairs for Kac-Moody Lie algebras was developed in [Kol 12]. A remarkably simple approach to coideal subalgebras based on the Drinfel'd original construction of Yangians, usually referred to as Drinfel'd first presentation or simply as *Drinfel'd basis*, was introduced in [DMS 01] (see also [Mac 02]) and is now conveniently called the MacKay twisted Yangians [GCM 13].

Twisted Yangians, which we denote by $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})^{tw}$, are in exact correspondence with the symmetric pair decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ given by a proper involution θ [Ara 62, Hel 78]. This decomposition is given by $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathfrak{m}$ with $\theta(\mathfrak{h})=\mathfrak{h}$, $\theta(\mathfrak{m})=-\mathfrak{m}$, the positive and negative eigenspaces of θ. For the half-loop Lie algebra this decomposition is given by $\mathcal{L}^+=\mathcal{H}^+\oplus\mathcal{M}^+$, where the positive eigenspace of θ is the twisted current Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}^+\cong(\mathfrak{h}\oplus u\mathfrak{m})[u^2]$. The *reflection algebra* formalism provides evidence of an existence of quantization of such a symmetric pair. More generally, P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan have shown that any homogeneous space G/H admits a local quantization [EtiKaz 95]. In [BelCra 12], the notion of left and right Lie bi-ideal structures for the θ-invariant Lie subalgebras, noted τ and τ', respectively, was introduced by one of the authors. The Lie bi-ideal structure is in one-to-one correspondence with a twisted version of the Manin triple $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}},\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+,\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$ and gives a quantization scheme for the θ-invariant Lie subalgebra leading to a quantum coideal subalgebra. These Lie bi-ideal structures correspond to a splitting $\delta=\tau+\tau'$ of the so-called coisotropic cocommutator δ of a Lie bi-algebras satisfying $\delta(\mathcal{H}^+)\in\mathcal{M}^+\wedge\mathcal{H}^+$ [Zam 11, Oha 10]. Here we consider the left Lie bi-ideal structure, which allows us to construct a quantization of twisted half-loop Lie algebras leading to quantum coideal subalgebras called *twisted Yangians in Drinfel'd basis formalism*.

A quantization of twisted half-loop Lie algebras for $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g})=1$ case was shown in [BelCra 12]. In this paper we consider twisted Yangians $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})^{tw}$ in the *Drinfel'd basis formalism* for any $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\geq 2$. We present a quantization procedure which holds for all symmetric pairs of half-loop Lie algebras of finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebras of rank $\mathfrak{g}\geq 2$. These symmetric pairs follow from the ones of simple complex Lie algebras that have been classified by Araki [Ara 62] (see also [Hel 78]). Such symmetric pairs can by grouped into four classes: $\mathfrak{h}$ is simple, $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{k}$ and $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{a}\oplus\mathfrak{b}\oplus\mathfrak{k}$, where $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are simple Lie subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{k}$ is a one dimensional central extension. We will further refer to all of these cases as the $\theta\neq id$ case. We will also consider the trivial involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$. Being trivial at the Lie algebra level, this involution can be extended non-trivially to the half-loop Lie algebra. In this case the θ-fixed subalgebra is the even half-loop Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}[u^2]$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}[u]$ as a Lie algebra. We will refer to this setup as the $\theta=id$ case. The main results of this paper are Theorems 5.2 and 5.3, which are analogues of the Drinfel'd Theorem 5.1 ([Dri 85], Theorem 2) for the twisted Yangians for $\theta\neq id$ and $\theta=id$ cases, respectively. We call the defining relations of the twisted Yangians the *horrific* relations due to their complex form and similarity to the Drinfel'd *terrific* relations. A proof the horrific relations of Theorem 5.2 is given in the first part of section 7.3. A proof of the horrific relation of Theorem (5.3) is presented as an outline in the second part of section 7.3.

The results of this paper give a uniform way of constructing twisted Yangians for all simple complex Lie algebras. Moreover, twisted Yangians in Drinfel'd basis have important applications in quantum integrable models with boundaries. They emerge as the non-abelian symmetries of the principal chiral models defined on a half-line and give the corresponding scalar boundary S-matrices [DMS 01, Mac 02, Mac 04]. The closure relations will allow to classify algebraicly all the scalar and dynamical boundary conditions and to construct the corresponding dynamical boundary S-matrices, i.e. in a similar way as it was done for the affine Toda

models with boundaries in [BasBel 11, BelFom 12]. Twisted Yangians in Drinfel'd basis can also be used to solve the spectral problem of a semi-infinite XXX spin chain for an arbitrary simple Lie algebra using the 'Onsager method' [BasBel 10]. We also remark, that twisted Yangians of this type were shown to play an important role in quantum integrable systems for which the RTT presentation of the underlying symmetries is not known, for example in the AdS/CFT correspondence [MacReg 10, MacReg 11].

The paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we recall basic definitions of simple complex Lie algebras and define the symmetric pair decomposition with respect to involution θ. Then, in section 3, we recall definitions of a half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ given in the *Drinfel'd basis*, and introduce the *Drinfel'd basis* of a twisted half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}^+$ with respect to the symmetric pair decomposition of $\mathcal{L}^+$. In section 4 we construct the Lie bi-algebra structure on $\mathcal{L}^+$ and Lie bi-ideal structure on $\mathcal{H}^+$ that provide the necessary data to achieve the quantification presented in section 5. The special case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ is fully considered in section 6, where we have presented all the corresponding twisted Yangians. Section 7 contains the proofs which were omitted in the main part of the paper due to their length and for the convenience of the reader.

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank P. Baseilhac, N. Crampé, N. Guay, N. Mackay and J. Ohayon for discussions and their interest for this work. V.R. acknowledges the UK EPSRC for funding under grant EP/K031805/1.

2. Definitions and preliminaries

2.1. **Lie algebra.** Consider a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of dimension $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = n$, with a basis $\{x_a\}$ given by

$$[x_a, x_b] = \alpha_{ab}{}^{c}\, x_c, \qquad \alpha_{ab}{}^{c} + \alpha_{ba}{}^{c} = 0, \qquad \alpha_{ab}{}^{c}\,\alpha_{dc}{}^{e} + \alpha_{da}{}^{c}\,\alpha_{bc}{}^{e} + \alpha_{bd}{}^{c}\,\alpha_{ac}{}^{e} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Here $\alpha_{ab}{}^{c}$ are the structure constants of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the Einstein summation rule of the dummy indices is assumed. We will further always assume $\mathfrak{g}$ to be simple. Let η_{ab} denote the non-degenerate invariant bilinear (Cartan–Killing) form of $\mathfrak{g}$ in the $\{x_a\}$ basis

$$(x_a, x_b)_{\mathfrak{g}} = \eta_{ab} = \alpha_{ac}{}^{d}\alpha_{bd}{}^{c}, \tag{2.2}$$

that can be used to lower indices $\{a, b, c, \ldots\}$ of the structure constants

$$\alpha_{ab}{}^{d}\eta_{dc} = \alpha_{abc} \qquad \text{with} \qquad \alpha_{abc} + \alpha_{acb} = 0.$$

The inverse of η_{ab} is given by η^{ab} and satisfies $\eta_{ab}\eta^{bc} = \delta_a{}^{c}$. Set $\{a, b\} = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$. Let $C_{\mathfrak{g}} = \eta^{ab}\{x_a, x_b\}$ denote the second order Casimir operator of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ be its eigenvalue in the adjoint representation. For a simple Lie algebra it is non-zero and is given by

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,\delta_c{}^{d} = \eta^{ab}\alpha_{ac}{}^{e}\alpha_{be}{}^{d} = \alpha_c{}^{eb}\alpha_{be}{}^{d}. \tag{2.3}$$

The elements $\alpha_a{}^{bc}$ satisfy the co-Jacobbi identity, which is obtained by raising one of the lower indices of the Jacobi identity in (2.1). Moreover, contracting $\alpha_a{}^{bc}$ with the Lie commutator in (2.1) gives

$$\alpha_a{}^{bc}[x_c, x_b] = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\, x_a. \tag{2.4}$$

2.2. **Symmetric pair decomposition.** Let θ be an involution of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ can be decomposed into the positive and negative eigenspaces of θ, i.e. $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$ with $\theta(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$ and $\theta(\mathfrak{m}) = -\mathfrak{m}$, here $\dim(\mathfrak{h}) = h$, $\dim(\mathfrak{m}) = m$ satifying $h + m = n$. Numbers h and m correspond to the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of θ. This decomposition leads to the symmetric pair relations

$$[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}, \qquad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}, \qquad [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}.$$

From the classification of the symmetric pairs for simple complex Lie algebras it follows that the invariant subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ is a (semi)simple Lie algebra which can be decomposed into a direct sum of two simple complex

Lie algebras $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$, and a one dimensional centre $\mathfrak{k}$ at most. We write $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{k}$ (see e.g. section 5 in [Hel 78]). Set $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = a$ and $\dim(\mathfrak{b}) = b$. Let the elements

$$\begin{aligned} &X_i \in \mathfrak{a}, \qquad X_{i'} \in \mathfrak{b}, \qquad X_z \in \mathfrak{k}, \qquad Y_p \in \mathfrak{m}, \\ &\text{with} \quad i = 1 \dots a, \quad i' = 1 \dots b \quad \text{and} \quad p = 1 \dots m, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\theta(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \{i, i', z\}$, and $\theta(Y_p) = -Y_p$. We will further use indices $i(j, k, ...)$ for elements $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}$, primed indices $i'(j', k', ...)$ for elements $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{b}$, index $\alpha = z$ for the central element $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}$, and indices $p(q, r, \dots)$ for $Y_p \in \mathfrak{m}$, when needed. We will denote the commutators in this basis as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} X_\gamma \quad \text{with} \quad f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \neq \beta, \\ &[X_\alpha, Y_p] = g_{\alpha p}{}^{q} Y_q, \qquad [Y_p, Y_q] = \sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} X_\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The structure constants above are obtained from the ones of $\mathfrak{g}$ by restricting to the appropriate elements. Here and further we will use the sum symbol $\sum_\alpha$ to denote the summation over all simple subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}$. The Einstein summation rule for the Greek indices will be used in cases when the sum is over a single simple subalgabera of $\mathfrak{h}$. The notation $\alpha \neq \gamma$ means that indices α and γ correspond to different subalgebras of $\mathfrak{h}$. The structure constants given above satisfy the (anti-)symmetry relations

$$f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} + f_{\beta\alpha}{}^{\gamma} = 0, \qquad g_{\mu p}{}^{q} + g_{p\mu}{}^{q} = 0, \qquad w_{pq}{}^{\mu} + w_{qp}{}^{\mu} = 0,$$

and the homogeneous and mixed Jacobi identities

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\nu} f_{\gamma\nu}{}^{\mu} + f_{\gamma\alpha}{}^{\nu} f_{\beta\nu}{}^{\mu} + f_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\nu} f_{\alpha\nu}{}^{\mu} = 0, \\ f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu} g_{p\mu}{}^{s} + g_{\beta p}{}^{q} g_{\alpha q}{}^{s} - g_{\alpha p}{}^{q} g_{\beta q}{}^{s} = 0, \\ w_{pq}{}^{\beta} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu} + g_{\alpha p}{}^{r} w_{qr}{}^{\mu} - g_{\alpha q}{}^{r} w_{pr}{}^{\mu} = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

with $\alpha(\beta, \gamma, ...) = i(j, k, ...) \in \mathfrak{a}$ or $\alpha(\beta, \gamma, ...) = i'(j', k', ...) \in \mathfrak{b}$ and

$$\sum_\alpha (w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} g_{r\alpha}{}^{s} + w_{qr}{}^{\alpha} g_{p\alpha}{}^{s} + w_{rp}{}^{\alpha} g_{q\alpha}{}^{s}) = 0, \qquad g_{p\alpha}{}^{r} w_{qr}{}^{\beta} - g_{q\alpha}{}^{r} w_{pr}{}^{\beta} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta. \tag{2.8}$$

We will further refer to the set $\{X_\alpha, Y_p\} = \{X_i, X_{i'}, X_z, Y_p\}$ given by (2.5) and satisfying relations (2.6–2.8) as to the *symmetric space basis* for a given Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and involution θ.

The Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ has a block diagonal decomposition with respect to the symmetric space basis, namely

$$(X_i, X_j)_\mathfrak{g} = (\kappa_\mathfrak{a})_{ij}, \quad (X_{i'}, X_{j'})_\mathfrak{g} = (\kappa_\mathfrak{b})_{i'j'}, \quad (X_z, X_z)_\mathfrak{g} = (\kappa_\mathfrak{k})_{zz}, \quad (Y_p, Y_q)_\mathfrak{g} = (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})_{pq},$$

with the remaining entries being trivial. The Casimir element $C_\mathfrak{g}$ in this basis decomposes as

$$C_\mathfrak{g} = C_X + C_Y = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \kappa^{\alpha\beta} \{X_\alpha, X_\beta\} + (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})^{pq} \{Y_p, Y_q\},$$

$$C_X = C + C' + C_z = (\kappa_\mathfrak{a})^{ij} \{X_i, X_j\} + (\kappa_\mathfrak{b})^{i'j'} \{X_{i'}, X_{j'}\} + (\kappa_\mathfrak{k})^{zz} \{X_z, X_z\}.$$

Here $\kappa^{\alpha\beta} \in \{(\kappa_\mathfrak{a})^{ij}, (\kappa_\mathfrak{b})^{i'j'}, (\kappa_\mathfrak{k})^{zz}\}$. The decomposition of the inverse Killing form can be used to raise the indices of the structure constants. We set

$$f_{\alpha}{}^{\beta\gamma} = \kappa^{\beta\mu} f_{\alpha\mu}{}^{\gamma}, \quad g_p{}^{q\nu} = \kappa^{\nu\rho} g_{\rho p}{}^{q}, \quad w_{\nu}{}^{pq} = (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})^{pr} g_{\nu r}{}^{q}.$$

with $\alpha(\beta, \gamma, \mu...) = i(j, k, ...)$ or $i'(j', k', ...)$ and $\nu(\rho) = i(j)$ or $i'(j')$ or $z(z)$. Consider the commutation relations. For the generator Y_p we have

$$[Y_p, C_X] = 2 \sum_\alpha g_p{}^{\alpha q} \{Y_q, X_\alpha\}, \qquad [Y_p, C_Y] = 2 \sum_\alpha g_p{}^{q\alpha} \{Y_q, X_\alpha\}.$$

The remaining commutation relations are trivial. Let $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{b}$, $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{z}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{m}$ be the eigenvalues of C, C', C_z and C_Y respectively. We have $\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{c}_z$. Using (2.4) we find

$$f_\alpha^{\beta\nu}\,[X_\nu, X_\beta] = \mathfrak{c}_{(\alpha)} X_\alpha, \qquad w_\gamma^{qp}\,[Y_p, Y_q] = \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\gamma)} X_\gamma, \tag{2.9}$$

with $\alpha = i(i')$, $\gamma = i(i', z)$, $\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)} = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g} - \mathfrak{c}_{(\alpha)}$, $\mathfrak{c}_{(i)} = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{c}_{(i')} = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{(z)} = 0$. Using (2.6), (2.6) we obtain

$$f_\alpha^{\mu\nu} f_{\nu\mu}^{\ \ \beta} = \mathfrak{c}_{(\alpha)}\,\delta_\alpha^\beta, \qquad w_\alpha^{qp}\, w_{pq}^{\ \ \beta} = \bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)}\,\delta_\alpha^\beta, \qquad w_\alpha^{qp}\, w_{pq}^{\ \ \gamma} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \gamma, \tag{2.10}$$

and $\alpha = i(i', z)$, $\beta = j(j', z)$. Finally, for Y_q we have

$$\sum_\alpha g_q^{\ p\alpha}\,[X_\alpha, Y_p] = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}{2}\,Y_q, \qquad \sum_\alpha g_p^{\ r\alpha} g_{\alpha r}^{\ \ q} = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}{2}\,\delta_p^{\ q}. \tag{2.11}$$

3. Symmetric spaces and simple half-loop Lie algebras

3.1. **Half-loop Lie algebra.** Consider a half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ generated by elements $\{x_a^{(k)}\}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a = 1, \dots, \dim(\mathfrak{g})$. It is a graded algebra with $\deg(x_a^{(k)}) = k$ and the defining relations

$$[x_a^{(k)}, x_b^{(l)}] = \alpha_{ab}^{\ \ c}\, x_c^{(k+l)}. \tag{3.1}$$

This algebra can be identified with the set of polynomial maps $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathfrak{g}$ using the Lie algebra isomorphism $\mathcal{L}^+ \cong \mathfrak{g}[u] = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[u]$ with $x_a^{(k)} \cong x_a \otimes u^k$.

The half-loop Lie algebra has another basis conveniently called the *Drinfel'd basis*:

Proposition 3.1. *The half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ admits a Drinfel'd basis generated by elements $\{x_a, J(x_b)\}$ satisfying*

$$[x_a, x_b] = \alpha_{ab}^{\ \ c} x_c, \quad J(\mu x_a + \nu x_b) = \mu J(x_a) + \nu J(x_b), \quad [x_a, J(x_b)] = \alpha_{ab}^{\ \ c} J(x_c), \tag{3.2}$$

$$[J(x_a), J([x_b, x_c])] + [J(x_b), J([x_c, x_a])] + [J(x_c), J([x_a, x_b])] = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$[[J(x_a), J(x_b)], J([x_c, x_d])] + [[J(x_c), J(x_d)], J([x_a, x_b])] = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$. In the $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ case the level-2 loop terrific relation 3.3 becomes trivial and for the $\operatorname{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$ case the level-3 loop terrific relation 3.4 follows from level-2 loop terrific relation. The isomorphism with the standard loop basis is given by the map

$$x_a \mapsto x_a^{(0)}, \qquad J(x_a) \mapsto x_a^{(1)}.$$

A proof is given in section 7.1.

3.2. **Twisted half-loop Lie algebra.** Let us extend the involution θ of $\mathfrak{g}$ to the whole of $\mathcal{L}^+$ as follows:

$$\theta(x_a^{(k)}) = (-1)^k \theta_a^{\ b} x_b^{(k)} \quad \text{for all} \quad k \geq 0. \tag{3.5}$$

The twisted half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{H}^+ \cong \mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta$ is a fixed-point subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^+$ generated by the elements stable under the action of the (extended) involution θ, namely $\mathcal{H}^+ = \{x \in \mathcal{L}^+ \,|\, \theta(x) = x\}$. In physics literature it is ofted referred to as the twisted current algebra.

Consider the symmetric space basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. We write the half-loop Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^+$ in terms of the elements $\{X_\alpha^{(k)}, Y_q^{(k)}\}$ satisfying

$$[X_\alpha^{(k)}, X_\beta^{(l)}] = f_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \ \gamma} X_\gamma^{(k+l)}, \qquad [X_\alpha^{(k)}, Y_p^{(l)}] = g_{\alpha p}^{\ \ q} Y_q^{(k+l)}, \qquad [Y_p^{(k)}, Y_q^{(l)}] = \sum_\alpha w_{pq}^{\ \ \alpha} X_\alpha^{(k+l)}, \tag{3.6}$$

for all $k, l \geq 0$ and $\alpha \neq \lambda$. The action of θ on this basis is given by $\theta(X_\alpha^{(k)}) = (-1)^k X_\alpha^{(k)}$ and $\theta(Y_p^{(k)}) = (-1)^{k+1} Y_p^{(k)}$. The half-loop Lie algebra decomposes as $\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathcal{H}^+ \oplus \mathcal{M}^+$, where $\mathcal{H}^+ = \{X_\alpha^{(2k)}, Y_q^{(2k+1)}\}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}^+$ generated by θ-invariant elements, and $\mathcal{M}^+ = \{X_\alpha^{(2k+1)}, Y_q^{(2k)}\}$ is the subset of $\mathcal{L}^+$ of θ-anti-invariant elements.

The twisted half-loop Lie algebra can be defined in terms of the *Drinfel'd basis*:

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$. *Then the twisted half-loop Lie algebra admits a Drinfel'd basis generated by elements* $\{X_\alpha, B(Y_p)\}$ *satisfying*

$$[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} X_\gamma, \quad [X_\alpha, B(Y_p)] = g_{\alpha p}{}^{q} B(Y_q), \quad B(aY_p + bY_q) = aB(Y_p) + bB(Y_q), \tag{3.7}$$

for all $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ *and*

$$[B(Y_p), B(Y_q)] + \sum_\alpha \frac{1}{\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)}} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} w_\alpha{}^{rs} [B(Y_r), B(Y_s)] = 0, \tag{3.8}$$

$$[[B(Y_p), B(Y_q)], B(Y_r)] + \frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}} \sum_\alpha \kappa_\mathfrak{m}^{tu} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} g_{r\alpha}{}^{s} [[B(Y_s), B(Y_t)], B(Y_u)] = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

The isomorphism with the standard twisted half-loop basis is given by the map

$$X_\alpha \mapsto X_\alpha^{(0)}, \qquad B(Y_p) \mapsto Y_p^{(1)}.$$

A proof is given in section 7.1. Note that in the contrast to $\mathcal{L}^+$, the twisted algebra $\mathcal{H}^+$ for $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$ has level-2 and level-3 higher-order defining relations, which we call *horrific relations*. This is due to the fact that even and odd levels of $\mathcal{H}^+$ are not equivalent. The $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ case is exceptional. The Drinfel'd presentation in this case has level-4 relation instead (see [BelCra 12], section 4.2).

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$. *Then the even half-loop Lie algebra admits a Drinfel'd basis generated by elements* $\{x_i, G(x_j)\}$ *satisfying*

$$[x_i, x_j] = \alpha_{ij}{}^{k} x_k, \quad G(\lambda x_a + \mu x_b) = \lambda G(x_a) + \mu G(x_b), \quad [x_i, G(x_j)] = \alpha_{ij}{}^{k} G(x_k). \tag{3.10}$$

$$[G(x_i), G([x_j, x_k])] + [G(x_j), G([x_k, x_i])] + [G(x_k), G([x_i, x_j])] = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$. *The isomorphism with the standard half-loop basis is given by the map*

$$x_i \mapsto x_i^{(0)}, \qquad G(x_i) \mapsto x_i^{(2)}.$$

The proof follows directly by Proposition 3.1, since $\mathfrak{g}[u^2] \cong \mathfrak{g}[u]$ as Lie algebra.

4. Lie bi-algebras and bi-ideals

4.1. **Lie bi-algebra structure of a half-loop Lie algebra.** A Lie bi-algebra structure on $\mathcal{L}^+$ is a skew-symmetric linear map $\delta : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathcal{L}^+ \otimes \mathcal{L}^+$, the cocommutator, such that δ^* is a Lie bracket and δ is a 1-cocycle, $\delta([x, y]) = x.\delta(y) - y.\delta(x)$, where dot denotes the adjoint action on $\mathcal{L}^+ \otimes \mathcal{L}^+$. The cocommutator is given for the elements in the Drinfel'd basis of $\mathcal{L}^+$ by

$$\delta(x_a) = 0, \qquad \delta(J(x_a)) = [x_a \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], \qquad \Omega_\mathfrak{g} = \eta^{ab} x_a \otimes x_b.$$

This cocommutator can be constructed from the Manin triple Lie algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$, with $\mathcal{L} = \mathfrak{g}((u^{-1}))$ the loop algebra generated by elements $\{x^{(n)}\}$ with $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and defining relations (3.1) (but with $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$), $\mathcal{L}^+ = \mathfrak{g}[u]$ the positive half-loop algebra (3.1), and $\mathcal{L}^- = \mathfrak{g}[[u^{-1}]]$ the negative half-loop algebra (i.e. $n, m < 0$). The triple $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$ satisfies the basic axioms of the Manin triple:

Definition 4.1 ([Dri 87])**.** *A Manin triple is a triple of Lie bi-algebras* $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-)$ *together with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form* $(\ ,\ )_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ *on* $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ *invariant under the adjoint action of* $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$*:*

- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+$ *and* $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-$ *are Lie subalgebras of* $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$*;*
- $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+ \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-$ *as a vector space;*
- $(\ ,\ )_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ *is isotopic for* $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\pm$ *(i.e.* $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\pm, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\pm)_\mathcal{L} = 0$*);*
- $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+)^* \cong \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-$.

Here the bilinear form is given by $(x^{(k)}, y^{(l)})_\mathcal{L} = (x, y)_\mathfrak{g}\, \delta_{k+l+1,0}$.

Remark 4.1. *If* $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^-)$ *is a Manin triple for* $\dim(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^+) = \infty$*, then* $(\mathfrak{g}_+)^* \cong \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-$*, where* $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-$ *is the completion of* $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-$*. However in our case* $(\mathcal{L}^+)^* \cong \mathcal{L}^-$*, as it is easy to see:*

$$(\mathcal{L}^+)^* \cong \big(\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \mathfrak{g} \otimes u^k\big)^* = \prod_{k\geq 0} (\mathfrak{g} \otimes u^k)^* = \prod_{k\geq 1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes u^{-k} \cong \mathcal{L}^-.$$

Here in the second equality we have used the identity

$$(\bigoplus_{i\geq 0} V_i)^* = \prod_{i\geq 0} V_i^*,$$

where V_i *denotes a finite dimensional vector space; an equivalent identity is used in the last equality.*

The cocomutator is obtained using the duality between $\mathcal{L}^+$ and $\mathcal{L}^-$. Recall that $\delta^* : \mathcal{L}^- \otimes \mathcal{L}^- \to \mathcal{L}^-$ is the Lie bracket of $\mathcal{L}^-$. We can deduce the cocommutator δ of $\mathcal{L}^+$ from the duality relation

$$(\delta(x), y \otimes z)_{\mathcal{L}} = (x, [y, z])_{\mathcal{L}}. \tag{4.1}$$

The cocommutator of the level zero generators $x_a^{(0)} = x_a$ is trivial since the level of $[y, z] \subset \mathcal{L}^-$ is strictly inferior to -1, thus $(x_a, [y, z])_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ for all $[y, z] \subset \mathcal{L}^-$. The simplest solution of $(\delta(x_a), y \otimes z)_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ for all $y, z \in \mathcal{L}^-$ is

$$\delta(x_a) = 0.$$

The case of level one generators $x_a^{(1)} = J(x_a)$ is considered in a similar way. For this case we have a non trivial pairing $(J(x_a), x_b^{(-2)})_{\mathcal{L}} = \eta_{ab}$. Using $x_b^{(-2)} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \alpha_b^{ji} [x_i^{(-1)}, x_j^{(-1)}]$ and the duality relation (4.1) we obtain a constraint

$$(\delta(J(x_a)), \alpha_b^{ji} x_i^{(-1)} \otimes x_j^{(-1)})_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\, \eta_{ab}.$$

Let us consider an ansatz $\delta(J(x_a)) = v_a{}^{lk} x_k \otimes x_l$ for some $v_a{}^{lk}$. Then we must have $v_a{}^{lk} \alpha_{blk} = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\, \eta_{ab}$. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\delta(J(x_a)) = \alpha_a{}^{lk} x_k \otimes x_l = [x_a \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}].$$

4.2. **Lie bi-ideal structure of twisted half-loop algebras.** The Lie bi-ideal structure of twisted half-loop algebras is constructed by employing the anti-invariant Manin triple twist. Here we will consider the left Lie bi-ideal structure. The right Lie bi-ideal is obtained in a similar way.

Definition 4.2 ([BelCra 12])**.** *The anti-invariant Manin triple twist* ϕ *of* $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$ *is an automorphism of* $\mathcal{L}$ *satisfying:*

- ϕ *is an involution;*
- $\phi(\mathcal{L}^\pm) = \mathcal{L}^\pm$*;*
- $(\phi(x), y)_{\mathcal{L}} = -(x, \phi(y))_{\mathcal{L}}$ *for all* $x, y \in \mathcal{L}^+$*.*

Here ϕ is the natural extension of θ to $\mathcal{L}$ (i.e. $\phi(x_a^{(k)}) = (-1)^k \theta_a^b x_b^{(k)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$). The twist ϕ gives the symmetric pair decomposition of the Manin triple $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{M}, \quad \mathcal{L}^\pm = \mathcal{H}^\pm \oplus \mathcal{M}^\pm \quad \text{with} \quad \phi(\mathcal{H}^\pm) = \mathcal{H}^\pm \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\mathcal{M}^\pm) = -\mathcal{M}^\pm. \tag{4.2}$$

From the anti-invariance of ϕ for $(\ ,\ )_{\mathcal{L}}$ it follows

$$(\mathcal{H}^-, \mathcal{H}^+)_{\mathcal{L}} = (\mathcal{M}^-, \mathcal{M}^+)_{\mathcal{L}} = 0.$$

Then we must have $(\mathcal{H}^\pm)^* \cong \mathcal{M}^\mp$. This is easy to check:

$$(\mathcal{H}^+)^* \cong (\bigoplus_{k\geq 0} (\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{2k})^* = \prod_{k\geq 0} ((\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{2k})^* = \prod_{k\geq 1} ((u\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{-2k}) \cong \mathcal{M}^-,$$

$$(\mathcal{H}^-)^* \cong (\bigoplus_{k\geq 1} (\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{-2k})^* = \prod_{k\geq 1} ((\mathfrak{h} \oplus u\mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{-2k})^* = \prod_{k\geq 0} ((u\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{m}) \otimes u^{2k}) \cong \mathcal{M}^+.$$

This decomposition of the Manin triple allows us to construct the Lie bi-ideal structure on $\mathcal{H}^+$.

Definition 4.3 ([BelCra 12]). *Let ϕ be an anti-invariant Manin triple twist for $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^-)$ which leads to the symmetric space decomposition (4.2). Then the linear map $\tau : \mathcal{H}^+ \to \mathcal{M}^+ \otimes \mathcal{H}^+$ is a left Lie bi-ideal structure for the couple $(\mathcal{H}^+, \mathcal{M}^+)$ if it is the dual of the following action of $\mathcal{H}^-$ on $\mathcal{M}^-$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^* \ : \ \mathcal{H}^- \otimes \mathcal{M}^- \ &\to \ \mathcal{M}_-, \\ x \otimes y \quad &\mapsto \ [x,y]_{\mathcal{L}_-} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}^-$ and $y \in \mathcal{M}^-$.

We are now ready to define the Lie bi-ideal structure for $(\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{H}^+)$.

Proposition 4.1. *The Lie bi-ideal structure of $(\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{H}^+)$, $\tau : \mathcal{H}^+ \to \mathcal{M}^+ \otimes \mathcal{H}^+$ is given by*

$$\theta \neq id \ : \ \tau(X_\alpha) = 0, \quad \tau(B(Y_p)) = [Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}], \quad \Omega_\mathfrak{h} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \kappa^{\alpha\beta} X_\alpha \otimes X_\beta \tag{4.4}$$

$$\theta = id \ : \ \tau(x_a) = 0, \quad \tau(G(x_a)) = [J(x_a) \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. The construction of the Lie bi-ideal structure τ from the anti-invariant Manin triple twist is similar to the one of the Lie bi-algebra structure from the Manin triple. We have to consider the duality relation $(\tau(x), y \otimes z)_\mathcal{L} = (x, [y,z])_\mathcal{L}$ with $x \in \mathcal{H}^+$, $y \in \mathcal{H}^-$ and $z \in \mathcal{M}^-$.

Consider the case $\theta \neq id$. For the level zero generators $X_\alpha^{(0)} = X_\alpha$, we have $(X_\alpha, [y,z])_\mathcal{L} = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}^-$ and $z \in \mathcal{M}^-$. This follows by similar arguments as for the level zero generators of the half-loop Lie algebra. Hence we have

$$\tau(X_\alpha) = 0.$$

For the level one generators $Y_p^{(1)} = B(Y_p)$, we have a non trivial paring $(B(Y_p), Y_q^{(-2)})_\mathcal{L} = (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})_{pq}$. Then, using relation $Y_q^{(-2)} = \sum_\alpha 2\, \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1} g_q^{\alpha p} [Y_p^{(-1)}, X_\alpha^{(-1)}]$ and the duality relation we obtain

$$\sum_\alpha (\tau(B(Y_p)), g_q^{r\alpha} Y_r^{(-1)} \otimes X_\alpha^{(-1)})_\mathcal{L} = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}{2} (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})_{pq}.$$

Let us consider an ansatz $\tau(B(Y_p)) = \sum_\beta v_p^{\beta s} Y_s \otimes X_\beta$. We must have $\sum_\alpha v_p^{\alpha s} g_{qs\alpha} = \frac{\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}{2} (\kappa_\mathfrak{m})_{pq}$. Using (2.11) we find

$$\tau(B(Y_p)) = \sum_\alpha g_p^{\alpha s} Y_s \otimes X_\alpha = [Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}].$$

The Lie bi-ideal structure for the $\theta = id$ case follows from the pairing $(G(x_a), x_b^{(-3)})_\mathcal{L} = (\kappa_\mathfrak{g})_{ab}$ by similar arguments. □

For completeness we give a remark which was stated by one of the authors in [BelCra 12].

Remark 4.2. *The notion of left (right) Lie bi-ideal is related to the notion of co-isotropic subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of a Lie bi-algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, \delta)$. It is a Lie subalgebra which is also a Lie coideal, meaning that $\delta(\mathfrak{h}) \subset \mathfrak{h} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$. We have $\delta(x) = \tau(x) + \tau'(x)$, for $x \in \mathfrak{h}$ with $\tau' = -\sigma \circ \tau$ the right bi-ideal structure.*

5. Quantization

To obtain a quantization of Lie bi-ideal we need to introduce some additional algebraic structures. Recall the definition of a bi-algebra and of a Hopf algebra. A bi-algebra is a quintuple $(A, \mu, \imath, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ such that $(A, \mu, \imath)$ is an algebra and (A, Δ, ε) is a coalgebra; here A is a $\mathbb{C}$-module, $\mu : A \otimes A \to A$ is the multiplication, $\Delta : A \to A \otimes A$ is the comultiplication (coproduct), $\imath : \mathbb{C} \to A$ is the unit and $\varepsilon : A \to \mathbb{C}$ is the counit. A Hopf algebra is a bi-algebra with an antipode $S : A \to A$, an antiautomorphism of algebra.

Definition 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a coalgebra. Then $\mathcal{B} = (B, \measuredangle, \epsilon)$ is a left coideal of $\mathcal{A}$ if:*

(1) B is a sumbodule of A, i.e. there exists an injective homomorphism $\varphi : B \to A$;
(2) coaction Δ is a coideal map $\Delta : B \to A \otimes B$ and is a homomorphism of modules;
(3) coalgebra and coideal structures are compatible with each other, i.e. the following identities hold:

$$(\Delta \otimes id) \circ \Delta = (id \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$(id \otimes \varphi) \circ \Delta = \Delta \circ \varphi; \tag{5.2}$$

(4) $\epsilon : B \to \mathbb{C}$ is the counit.

Definition 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A, \mu, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a bi-algebra. Then $\mathcal{B} = (B, m, i, \Delta, \epsilon)$ is a left coideal subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ if:*

(1) the triple (B, m, i), where m is the multiplication and i is the unit, is an algebra;
(2) B is a subalgebra of A, i.e. there exists an injective homomorphism $\varphi : B \to A$;
(3) the triple (B, Δ, ϵ) is a coideal of (A, Δ, ε).

The relation (5.1) is usually referred to as the coideal coassociativity of B. We will refer to (5.2) as the coideal coinvariance. We will refer to the map φ as to the natural embedding $\varphi : B \hookrightarrow A$.

The next definition, a quantization of a Lie bi-algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, is due to Drinfel'd [Dri 87]:

Definition 5.3. *Let $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ be a Lie bi-algebra. We say that a quantized universal enveloping algebra $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a quantization of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$, or that $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ is the quasi-classical limit of $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$, if it is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ module and:*

(1) $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \,/\, \hbar\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ as a Hopf algebra;
(2) for any $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ and any $X \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ equal to $x \,(mod\, \hbar)$ one has

$$\Big(\Delta_\hbar(X) - \sigma \circ \Delta_\hbar(X)\Big)/\hbar \sim \delta(x) \quad (mod\, \hbar)$$

with σ the permutation map $\sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a$.

Note that $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a topological Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ and is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ module. Drinfel'd also noted that for a given Lie-bialgebra $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$, there exists a unique extension of the map $\delta : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $\delta : \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ which turns $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ into a co-Poisson-Hopf algebra. The converse is also true. In such a way $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$ can be viewed as a quantization of $(\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \delta)$.

Next we define a quantization of the Lie bi-ideal $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$ following [BelCra 12]:

Definition 5.4. *Let $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ be a Lie bi-algebra and $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$ be a left Lie bi-ideal of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$ relative to the involution θ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. We say that a left coideal subalgebra $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a quantization of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$, or that $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$ is the quasi-classical limit of $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta), \Delta_\hbar)$, if it is a topologically free $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ module and:*

(1) $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a quantization of $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \delta)$;
(2) $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta) / \hbar\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)$ as a Lie algebra;
(3) $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta), \Delta_\hbar)$ is a left coideal subalgebra of $(\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \Delta_\hbar)$;
(4) for any $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta$ and any $X \in \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)$ equal to $x \,(mod\, \hbar)$ one has

$$\Big(\Delta_\hbar(X) - (\varphi(X) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X)\Big)/\hbar \sim \tau(x) \quad (mod\, \hbar)$$

with φ the natural embedding $\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$.

The Lie bi-ideal structure τ can be extended to $\tau : \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta) \to \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta)$ such that $\tau(a_1 a_2) = \tau(a_1)\Delta(a_2) + \tau(a_2)\Delta(a_1)$ [BelCra 12]. However this does not turn $(\mathcal{U}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta), \Delta, \tau)$ into a co-Poisson-Hopf structure. Rather it would be a 'one sided coideal-Poisson' extension of the one-sided Lie bi-ideal $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta, \tau)$. In the case of the two-sided coideal structures, the associated Poisson structures are called 'Poisson homogeneous spaces'. We refer to [BFGP 94] and [EtiKaz 95] for details on quantization of such structures.

In the remaining part of this section we will consider a quantization of symmetric pairs of half-loop Lie algebras $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^\theta) = (\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{H}^+)$. We will recall the coproduct of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L}^+)$ which follows from the Lie bi-algebra structure on $\mathcal{L}^+$. Then we will construct the coaction of the twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{tw} = \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{H}^+)$ which will follow from the Lie bi-ideal structure on $\mathcal{H}^+$ and the coideal compatibility relations (5.1) and (5.2). And finally, we will recall the defining relations of the Drinfel'd Yangian and give the main results of this paper, the defining relations of the twisted Yangians in Drinfel'd basis.

5.1. **Coproduct on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$.** The coproduct is given by

$$\Delta_h(x_a) = x_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_a, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\Delta_h(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = \mathcal{J}(x_a) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}(x_a) + \frac{\hbar}{2}\,[x_a \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}]. \tag{5.4}$$

This is the simplest solution of the quantization condition satisfying the coassociativity property

$$(1 \otimes \Delta_h) \circ \Delta_h = (\Delta_h \otimes 1) \circ \Delta_h. \tag{5.5}$$

The grading on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given by

$$\deg(x_a) = 0, \qquad \deg(\hbar) = 1, \qquad \deg(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = 1. \tag{5.6}$$

5.2. **Coaction on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{tw}$.** As in the previous section, we need to consider the cases $\theta \neq id$ and $\theta = id$ separately.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\theta \neq id$. Then the coideal subalgebra structure is given by*

$$\measuredangle_\hbar(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X_\alpha, \tag{5.7}$$

$$\measuredangle_\hbar(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_p) + \hbar\,[Y_p \otimes 1, \Omega_X], \tag{5.8}$$

$$\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \frac{1}{4}\hbar\,[Y_p, C_X]. \tag{5.9}$$

The grading on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{tw}$ is given by $\deg(X_\alpha) = 0$, $\deg(\hbar) = 1$ *and* $\deg(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = 1$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\theta = id$. Then the coideal subalgebra structure is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \measuredangle_\hbar(x_a) &= x_a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_a\,, \\ \measuredangle_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) &= \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_a) \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

$$+ \hbar\,[\mathcal{J}(x_a) \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}] + \frac{1}{4}\hbar^2([[x_a \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}], \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}] + \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\alpha_a^{\ bc}[[x_c \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}], [x_b \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}]]), \tag{5.11}$$

$$\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\alpha_a^{bc}[\mathcal{J}(x_c), \mathcal{J}(x_b)] + \frac{1}{4}\hbar\,[\mathcal{J}(x_a), C_{\mathfrak{g}}]. \tag{5.12}$$

The grading on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{tw}$ is given by $\deg(x_a) = 0$, $\deg(\hbar) = 1$ *and* $\deg(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) = 2$.

The proofs of Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 are stated in section 7.2. The map (5.9) is the MacKay twisted Yangian formula [DMS 01]. The next remark is due to Lemma 7.1:

Remark 5.1. *It will be convenient to write the coaction* (5.11) *in the following way*

$$\begin{aligned} \measuredangle_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) &= \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_a)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_a) \\ &+ \hbar\,[\mathcal{J}(x_a) \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}] + \hbar^2(h_a^{\ bcd} x_b \otimes \{x_c, x_d\} + \bar{h}_a^{\ bcd}\{x_c, x_d\} \otimes x_b), \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where

$$h_a^{\ bcd} = \phi_a^{\ bcd} + 2\,\psi_a^{\ bcd}, \qquad \bar{h}_a^{\ bcd} = \phi_a^{\ bcd} - \psi_a^{\ bcd}, \tag{5.14}$$

and

$$\phi_a^{\ bcd} = \frac{1}{24\,\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}} \sum_\pi (\alpha_a^{\ jk} \alpha_j^{\ \pi(d)r} \alpha_k^{\ \pi(b)s} \alpha_{sr}^{\ \ \pi(c)}), \qquad \psi_a^{\ bcd} = \frac{1}{12}(\alpha_a^{\ jd}\alpha_j^{\ bc} + \alpha_a^{\ jc}\alpha_j^{\ bd}). \tag{5.15}$$

5.3. **Yangians and twisted Yangians in Drinfel'd basis.** For any elements $x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_m}$ of any associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$, set

$$\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_m}\} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\pi} x_{\pi(i_1)} x_{\pi(i_2)} \cdots x_{\pi(i_m)}, \tag{5.16}$$

where the sum is over all permutations π of $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\}$ and

$$\langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_m} \rangle_n = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\pi} x_{\pi(i_1)} \cdots x_{\pi(i_n)} \otimes x_{\pi(i_{n+1})} \cdots x_{\pi(i_m)}, \tag{5.17}$$

such that

$$\Delta(\{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_m}\}) = \sum_{n=0}^{m} \binom{m}{n} \langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_m} \rangle_n, \tag{5.18}$$

where $\binom{m}{n}$ denotes the binomial coefficient. Moreover, for any set of indices $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$, set

$$a_{(i_1} a_{i_2} \cdots a_{i_m)} = \sum_{\sigma} a_{\sigma(i_1)} a_{\sigma(i_2)} \cdots a_{\sigma(i_m)}, \tag{5.19}$$

where the sum is over the cyclic permutations, for example: $\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d} \alpha_{c)d}{}^{e} = \alpha_{ab}{}^{d}\, \alpha_{cd}{}^{e} + \alpha_{bc}{}^{d}\, \alpha_{ad}{}^{e} + \alpha_{ca}{}^{d}\, \alpha_{bd}{}^{e}$.

Next, let us recall the definition of the Drinfel'd Yangian [Dri 85]:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra. Fix a (non-zero) invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and a basis $\{x_a\}$. There is, up to isomorphism, a unique homogeneous quantization $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u])$ of $(\mathfrak{g}[u], \delta)$. It is topologically generated by elements x_a, $\mathcal{J}(x_a)$ with the defining relations:*

$$[x_a, x_b] = \alpha_{ab}{}^{c}\, x_c, \qquad [x_a, \mathcal{J}(x_b)] = \alpha_{ab}{}^{c}\, \mathcal{J}(x_c), \tag{5.20}$$

$$\mathcal{J}(\lambda\, x_a + \mu\, x_b) = \lambda\, \mathcal{J}(x_a) + \mu\, \mathcal{J}(x_b), \tag{5.21}$$

$$[\mathcal{J}(x_a), \mathcal{J}([x_b, x_c])] + [\mathcal{J}(x_b), \mathcal{J}([x_c, x_a])] + [\mathcal{J}(x_c), \mathcal{J}([x_a, x_b])] = \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^2 \beta_{abc}^{ijk} \{x_i, x_j, x_k\}, \tag{5.22}$$

$$[[\mathcal{J}(x_a), \mathcal{J}(x_b)], \mathcal{J}([x_c, x_d])] + [[\mathcal{J}(x_c), \mathcal{J}(x_d)], \mathcal{J}([x_a, x_b])] = \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^2 \gamma_{abcd}^{ijk} \{x_i, x_j, \mathcal{J}(x_k)\}, \tag{5.23}$$

where

$$\beta_{abc}^{ijk} = \alpha_a{}^{il} \alpha_b{}^{jm} \alpha_c{}^{kn} \alpha_{lmn}, \qquad \gamma_{abcd}^{ijk} = \alpha_{cd}{}^{e} \beta_{abe}^{ijk} + \alpha_{ab}{}^{e} \beta_{cde}^{ijk}, \tag{5.24}$$

for all $x_a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. The coproduct and grading is given by (5.3), (5.4) *and* (5.6)*, the antipode is*

$$S(x_a) = -x_a, \qquad S(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = -\mathcal{J}(x_a) + \frac{1}{4}\, \hbar\, \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} x_a. \tag{5.25}$$

The counit is given by $\varepsilon_\hbar(x_a) = \varepsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = 0$.

An outline of a proof can be found in chapter 12 of [ChaPre 94]. Let us make a remark on the Drinfel'd terrific relations (5.22) and (5.23), which are deformations of the relations (3.3) and (3.4), respectively. The right-hand sides (rhs) of the terrific relations are such that $\Delta_\hbar : \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a homomorphism of algebras. Choose any total ordering on the elements x_a, $\mathcal{J}(x_b)$. Then it is easy to see that the basis of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is spanned by the totally symmetric polynomials $\{x_{a_1}, \dots, x_{a_m}, \mathcal{J}(x_{b_1}), \dots, \mathcal{J}(x_{b_n})\}$ with $m + n \geq 1$, $m, n \geq 0$, and ordering $a_i \preceq \cdots \preceq a_m$, $b_i \preceq \cdots \preceq b_n$. Moreover, the defining relations must be even in $\hbar$. Indeed, consider the coaction on the left-hand side (lhs) of (5.22). The linear terms in $\hbar$ vanish due to the Jacobi identity. What remains are the $\hbar^2$–order terms cubic and totally symmetric in x_a. Hence the rhs of the terrific relation must be of the form $\hbar^2 A_{abc}^{ijk} \{x_i, x_j, x_k\}$ for some set of coefficients $A_{abc}^{ijk} \in \mathbb{C}$. By comparing the terms on the both sides of the equation and using the Jacobi identity one finds $A_{abc}^{ijk} = \beta_{abc}^{ijk}$. The level three terrific relation (5.23) is obtained in a similar way.[2]

[2] Proving (5.23) is much more complicated since it requires a heavy usage of (5.22) and Jacobi identity. We were unable to locate the explicit proof of Drinfel'd terrific relations in the mathematical literature available to us.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ be a symmetric pair decomposition of a finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\geq 2$ with respect to the involution θ, such that $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ is the positive eigenspace of θ. Let $\{X_\alpha,Y_p\}$ be the symmetric space basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to θ. There is, up to isomorphism, a unique homogeneous quantization $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{tw}:=\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u],\mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta)$ of $(\mathfrak{g}[u],\mathfrak{g}[u]^\theta,\tau)$. It is topologically generated by elements X_α, $\mathcal{B}(Y_p)$ with the defining relations:*

$$[X_\alpha,X_\beta]=f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma}X_\gamma,\quad [X_\alpha,\mathcal{B}(Y_p)]=g_{\alpha p}{}^{q}\mathcal{B}(Y_q),\quad \mathcal{B}(aY_p+bY_q)=a\mathcal{B}(Y_p)+b\mathcal{B}(Y_q), \tag{5.26}$$

$$[\mathcal{B}(Y_p),\mathcal{B}(Y_q)]+\sum_\alpha\frac{1}{\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)}}\,w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}w_{\alpha}{}^{rs}\,[\mathcal{B}(Y_r),\mathcal{B}(Y_s)]=\hbar^2\sum_{\lambda,\mu,\nu}\Lambda_{pq}^{\lambda\mu\nu}\{X_\lambda,X_\mu,X_\nu\}, \tag{5.27}$$

$$[[\mathcal{B}(Y_p),\mathcal{B}(Y_q)],\mathcal{B}(Y_r)]+\frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}\sum_\alpha\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}}^{tu}\,w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}g_{r\alpha}{}^{s}\,[[\mathcal{B}(Y_s),\mathcal{B}(Y_t)],\mathcal{B}(Y_u)]=\hbar^2\sum_{\lambda,\mu,u}\Upsilon_{pqr}^{\lambda\mu u}\{X_\lambda,X_\mu,\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\}, \tag{5.28}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{pq}^{\lambda\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{3}\Big(g^{\mu t}{}_p\,g^{\lambda u}{}_q+\sum_\alpha(\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1}w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}w_{\alpha}{}^{rs}g^{\mu t}{}_r\,g^{\lambda u}{}_s\Big)w_{tu}{}^{\nu}, \tag{5.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Upsilon_{pqr}^{\lambda\mu u}=&\frac{1}{4}\sum_\alpha\Big(w_{st}{}^{\alpha}g_p{}^{\lambda s}g_q{}^{\mu t}g_{\alpha r}{}^{u}+\sum_\beta w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}f_{\alpha}{}^{\lambda\beta}g_r{}^{\mu s}g_{\beta s}{}^{u}\Big)\\&+\frac{1}{2\,\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}\sum_{\alpha,\gamma}\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}}^{vx}\,w_{pq}{}^{\gamma}g_{r\gamma}{}^{y}\Big(w_{st}{}^{\alpha}g_y{}^{\lambda s}g_v{}^{\mu t}g_{\alpha x}{}^{u}+\sum_\beta w_{yv}{}^{\alpha}f_{\alpha}{}^{\lambda\beta}g_x{}^{\mu s}g_{\beta s}{}^{u}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

for all $X_\alpha,Y_p\in\mathfrak{g}$ and $a,b\in\mathbb{C}$. The coaction and grading is as in Proposition 5.1. The counit is $\epsilon_\hbar(X_\alpha)=\epsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))=0$ for all non-central X_α. In the case when $\mathfrak{g}^\theta$ has a non-trivial centre $\mathfrak{k}$ generated by X_z, then $\epsilon_\hbar(X_z)=c$ with $c\in\mathbb{C}$.

In the case when $\mathfrak{h}$ has a central element, the one dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{h}$ has a free parameter $c\in\mathbb{C}$. This parameter corresponds to the free boundary parameter of a quantum integrable model with a twisted Yangian as the underlying symmetry algebra. For Lie algebras of type A, this parameter also appears in the solutions of the boundary intertwining equation leading to a one-parameter family of the boundary S-matrices satisfying the reflection equation [AACDFR 04].

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite dimensional simple complex Lie algebra of $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g})\geq 2$. Let $\{x_i\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Fix a (non-zero) invariant bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$ and a basis $\{x_i\}$. There is, up to isomorphism, a unique homogeneous quantization $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})^{tw}:=\mathcal{U}_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}[u],\mathfrak{g}[u^2])$ of $(\mathfrak{g}[u],\mathfrak{g}[u^2],\tau)$. It is topologically generated by elements x_i, $\mathcal{G}(x_i)$ with the defining relations:*

$$[x_a,x_b]=\alpha_{ab}{}^{c}x_c,\qquad [x_a,\mathcal{G}(x_b)]=\mathcal{G}([x_a,x_b])=\alpha_{ab}{}^{c}\mathcal{G}(x_c), \tag{5.31}$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\lambda\,x_a+\mu\,x_b)=\lambda\,\mathcal{G}(x_a)+\mu\,\mathcal{G}(x_b), \tag{5.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\mathcal{G}(x_a),\mathcal{G}([x_b,x_c])]+[\mathcal{G}(x_b),\mathcal{G}([x_c,x_a])]+[\mathcal{G}(x_c),\mathcal{G}([x_a,x_b])]&=\hbar^2\,\Psi_{abc}^{ijk}\,\{x_i,x_j,\mathcal{G}(x_k)\}\\&+\hbar^4\,(\Phi_{abc}^{ijk}\{x_i,x_j,x_k\}+\bar{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm}\{x_i,x_j,x_k,x_l,x_m\}),\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

where

$$\Psi_{abc}^{ijk}=\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}\alpha_{c)r}{}^{k}\,\bar{h}_d^{rij}-\alpha_{dr}{}^{k}\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}\,\bar{h}_{c)}^{rij}, \tag{5.34}$$

$$\bar{\Phi}_{abc}^{ijklm}=\frac{1}{5}\big(\alpha_{rs}{}^{i}\,\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}h_{c)}^{rjk}\,h_d^{slm}\,-\Psi_{abc}^{jkr}\,h_r^{ilm}\big), \tag{5.35}$$

$$\Phi_{abc}^{ijk}=\frac{1}{9}\Big(\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}W_{c)d}^{ijk}+\frac{1}{6}\bar{\Phi}_{abc}^{(ix(yzj))}\alpha_{xy}{}^{r}\alpha_{rz}{}^{k}-\big(\Psi_{abc}^{xjy}\,\bar{h}_y^{kzr}\alpha_{zx}{}^{s}\alpha_{rs}{}^{i}+\Psi_{abc}^{xyz}\,h_z^{rsk}\alpha_{rx}{}^{i}\alpha_{ys}{}^{j}\big)\Big), \tag{5.36}$$

with

$$W_{cd}^{ijk} = \alpha_{rs}{}^{i}\, h_c^{rxy}\big(\, h_d^{szk}\alpha_{xt}{}^{j}\alpha_{yz}{}^{t} + h_d^{szt}\alpha_{xt}{}^{k}\alpha_{yz}{}^{j}\big) + \Big(\big(\bar h_c^{xyz}h_d^{efk} - \bar h_d^{xyz}h_c^{efk}\big)\alpha_{ye}{}^{t}\alpha_{zt}{}^{i}\alpha_{xf}{}^{j} + \bar h_c^{jxy}\bar h_d^{kzr}\alpha_{xr}{}^{s}\big(\alpha_{zy}{}^{t}\alpha_{st}{}^{i} + \alpha_{sy}{}^{t}\alpha_{zt}{}^{i}\big)\Big), \tag{5.37}$$

for all $x_a \in \mathfrak{g}$ *and* $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$. *The coaction and grading is as in Proposition 5.2. The co-unit is* $\epsilon_\hbar(x_i) = \epsilon_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = 0$.

Theorems 5.2 and 5.3 can be proven using essentially the same strategy outlined in chapter 12 of [ChaPre 94]. The complicated part is to obtain the horrific relations (5.27), (5.28) and (5.33), which are quantizations of (3.8), (3.9) and (3.11), respectively. A proof of the first two horrific relations is given in the first part of section 7.3. Proving the third horrific relation is substantially more difficult. We have given an outline of a proof in the second part of section 7.3. We believe that coefficients of the horrific relation (5.33) could be further reduced to a more elegant and compact form. We have succeeded to find such a form for the $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ Lie algebra:

Remark 5.2. *For* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ *the coefficients of the horrific relation* (5.33) *get simplified to*

$$\Psi_{abc}^{ijk} = \frac{1}{3}\,\beta_{(abc)}^{ijk} + \alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}\alpha_{c)l}{}^{k}\phi_d^{lij} - \alpha_{dl}{}^{k}\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}\phi_{c)}^{lij}, \quad \Phi_{abc}^{ijk} = -\frac{1}{6}\,\beta_{abc}^{ijk}, \quad \bar\Phi_{abc}^{ijkln} = \frac{1}{36}\,\alpha_{(a}{}^{ir}\alpha_{b}{}^{js}\beta_{c)rs}^{klm}. \tag{5.38}$$

The Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a one-parameter group of automorphisms τ_c, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, given by $\tau_c(x_a) = x_a$ and $\tau_c(\mathcal{J}(x_a)) = \mathcal{J}(x_a) + \hbar\, c\, x_a$, which is compatible with both algebra and Hopf algebra structure. An analogue of this automorphism for the twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{tw}$ is a one-parameter group of automorphism of embeddings (5.9) given by $\tau_c(\varphi(X_\alpha)) = X_\alpha$ and $\tau_c(\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))) = J(Y_p) + \frac{1}{4}\hbar[Y_p, C_X] + \hbar\, c\, Y_p$. There is no analogue of such an automorphism for the twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})^{tw}$, since it is not compatible with the relation (5.33).

6. Coideal subalgebras of the Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$

In this section we present three coideal subalgebras $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})^{tw}$ of $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$, with $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}_3$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{gl}_2$ and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ ($\theta = id$ case). We will denote generators of the first two algebras by symbols $\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}$ and $\mathsf{H}, \mathsf{E}, \mathsf{F}$. We will start by recalling the definition of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ in the Serre–Chevalley basis and in the Cartan–Chevalley basis.

6.1. **The $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ Lie algebra.** Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ in the Serre–Chevalley basis is generated by $\{e_i, f_i, h_i \mid i = 1, 2\}$ subject to the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \qquad [h_i, e_j] = a_{ij}\, e_j, \qquad [h_i, f_j] = -a_{ij}\, f_j, \\ &[e_i, [e_i, e_{i\pm1}]] = 0, \qquad [f_i, [f_i, f_{i\pm1}]] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where $a_{ii} = 2$ and $a_{12} = -1 = a_{21}$ are the matrix elements of the Cartan matrix of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$.

The Cartan–Chevalley basis contains 8 elements: there are 6 generators that correspond to the simple roots, and 2 to the non-simple ones, $e_3 = [e_1, e_2]$ and $f_3 = [f_2, f_1]$. The defining relations are obtained by dropping the Serre relations in the previous definition and adding the commutators

$$\begin{aligned} &[e_1, e_2] = e_3, \quad [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = 0, \quad [f_1, f_2] = -f_3, \quad [f_1, f_3] = [f_2, f_3] = 0, \\ &[e_1, f_3] = -f_2, \quad [e_2, f_3] = f_1, \quad [f_1, e_3] = e_2, \quad [f_2, e_3] = -e_1, \\ &[h_i, f_3] = -f_3, \quad [h_i, e_3] = e_3, \quad [e_3, f_3] = h_1 + h_2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

The quadratic Casimir operator of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ is given by ($\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g} = 6$)

$$C_{\mathfrak{sl}_3} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \{e_i, f_i\} + \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \{h_i, h_j\}.$$

6.2. **Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$.**

Definition 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ denote the associative unital algebra with sixteen generators $e_i, f_i, h_j, \mathcal{J}(e_i)$, $\mathcal{J}(f_i), \mathcal{J}(h_j)$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 1, 2$ and the defining relations* (6.2) *and*

$$[x, \mathcal{J}(y)] = \mathcal{J}([x, y]), \qquad \mathcal{J}(a\,x + b\,y) = a\,\mathcal{J}(x) + b\,\mathcal{J}(y),$$

where $x, y \in \{e_i, f_i, h_i\}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, and level-2 terrific relation

$$[\mathcal{J}(h_1), \mathcal{J}(h_2)] = \tfrac{3}{4}\hbar^2(\{e_1, e_2, f_3\} + \{e_3, f_1, f_2\}). \tag{6.3}$$

Remark 6.1. *The remaining terrific relations given by* (5.22) *can be obtained by the adjoint action of the level-0 generators on the relation* (6.3)*. The same applies to the horrific relations in the examples given below.*

Definition 6.2. *The Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\hbar(x) &= x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, \\ \Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{J}(x)) &= \mathcal{J}(x) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{J}(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}\hbar\,[x \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}], \\ S_\hbar(x) &= -x, \qquad S_\hbar(\mathcal{J}(x)) = -\mathcal{J}(x) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,x, \\ \epsilon_\hbar(x) &= \epsilon_\hbar(J(x)) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

6.3. **Orthogonal twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{so}_3)^{tw}$.** Let the involution θ be given by

$$\theta \quad : \quad e_1 \mapsto -e_2, \quad f_1 \mapsto -f_2, \quad h_1 \mapsto h_2. \tag{6.5}$$

The action of θ on the rest of the algebra elements is deduced by the constraint $\theta^2 = id$. The symmetric space basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ is given by $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \{\mathsf{h} = h_1 + h_2, \mathsf{e} = e_1 - e_2, \mathsf{f} = f_1 - f_2\}$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \{h_1 - h_2, e_1 + e_2, f_1 + f_2, e_3, f_3\}$. The positive eigenspace forms the orthogonal subgroup $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \mathfrak{so}_3 \subset \mathfrak{sl}_3$. We will denote the generators of this subgroup by $\{\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}\}$. They form the level-0 basis of the twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{so}_3)^{tw}$. We will denote the level-1 generators by $\{\mathsf{H}, \mathsf{E}, \mathsf{F}, \mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2\}$.

Definition 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{so}_3)^{tw}$ denote the associative unital algebra with a basis of eight generators $\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{H}, \mathsf{E}, \mathsf{F}, \mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2$ and the defining level-0 relations (of the $\mathfrak{so}_3$ Lie algebra)*

$$[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}] = \mathsf{h}, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}] = \mathsf{e}, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{f}] = -\mathsf{f}, \tag{6.6}$$

level-1 Lie relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{F}] = [\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{f}] = \mathsf{H}, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{E}] = \mathsf{E}, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{F}] = -\mathsf{F}, \\ &[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{E}] = 2\mathsf{E}_2, \quad [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{F}] = 2\mathsf{F}_2, \quad [\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{E}_2] = [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{F}_2] = 0, \\ &[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{F}_2] = \mathsf{F}, \quad [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{E}_2] = \mathsf{E}, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{F}_2] = -2\mathsf{F}_2, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{E}_2] = 2\mathsf{E}_2, \\ &[\mathsf{H}, \mathsf{e}] = 3\mathsf{E}, \quad [\mathsf{H}, \mathsf{f}] = -3\mathsf{F}, \quad [\mathsf{H}, \mathsf{h}] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

level-2 horrific relation

$$[\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{F}] + [\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2] = \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^2\big(\{\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{h}, \mathsf{h}\} - 3\{\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{h}\}\big), \tag{6.8}$$

level-3 horrific relation

$$[[\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{F}], \mathsf{H}] = \tfrac{3}{2}\hbar^2\big(\{\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{f}\} + \{\mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{e}\}\big) + \tfrac{15}{4}\hbar^2\big(\{\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{h}\} - \{\mathsf{F}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{h}\}\big). \tag{6.9}$$

Definition 6.4. *The algebra $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{so}_3)^{tw}$ admits a unique left co-action given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\hbar(\mathsf{x}) &= \varphi(\mathsf{x}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{x}, \\ \Delta_\hbar(\mathsf{Y}) &= \varphi(\mathsf{Y}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{Y} + \hbar\,[\varphi_0(\mathsf{Y}) \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{h}}], \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

for all $\mathsf{x} \in \{\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}\}$ *and* $\mathsf{Y} \in \{\mathsf{E}, \mathsf{F}, \mathsf{H}, \mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2\}$ *with*

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\mathsf{e}) = e_1 - e_2, \quad \varphi(\mathsf{f}) = f_1 - f_2, \quad \varphi(\mathsf{h}) = h_1 + h_2, \\ &\varphi_0(\mathsf{E}) = e_1 + e_2, \quad \varphi_0(\mathsf{F}) = f_1 + f_2, \quad \varphi_0(\mathsf{H}) = h_1 - h_2, \quad \varphi_0(\mathsf{E}_2) = e_3, \quad \varphi_0(\mathsf{F}_2) = f_3, \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi(\mathsf{E}) = \mathcal{J}(e_1) + \mathcal{J}(e_2) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,[e_1 + e_2, C_\mathfrak{h}], \qquad \varphi(\mathsf{E}_2) = \mathcal{J}(e_3) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,[e_3, C_\mathfrak{h}], \\ &\varphi(\mathsf{F}) = \mathcal{J}(f_1) + \mathcal{J}(f_2) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,[f_1 + f_2, C_\mathfrak{h}], \qquad \varphi(\mathsf{F}_2) = \mathcal{J}(f_3) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,[f_3, C_\mathfrak{h}], \\ &\varphi(\mathsf{H}) = \mathcal{J}(h_1) - \mathcal{J}(h_2) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,[h_1 - h_2, C_\mathfrak{h}], \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

Here

$$C_\mathfrak{h} = \tfrac{1}{2}\big(\{\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}\} + \mathsf{h}^2\big) \in \mathfrak{h}, \qquad \Omega_\mathfrak{h} = \tfrac{1}{2}(\varphi \otimes id) \circ \big(\mathsf{e} \otimes \mathsf{f} + \mathsf{f} \otimes \mathsf{e} + 2\mathsf{h} \otimes \mathsf{h}\big) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{h}. \tag{6.13}$$

The co-unit is

$$\epsilon(\mathsf{x}) = \epsilon(\mathsf{Y}) = 0. \tag{6.14}$$

6.4. **General twisted Yangian $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{gl}_2)^{tw}$.** Let the involution θ be given by

$$\theta \quad : \quad e_1 \mapsto e_1, \quad f_1 \mapsto f_1, \quad e_2 \mapsto -e_2, \quad f_2 \mapsto -f_2, \quad h_i \mapsto h_i, \tag{6.15}$$

In this case $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \{\mathsf{h} = h_1, \mathsf{k} = 2h_2 + h_1, \mathsf{e} = e_1, \mathsf{f} = f_1\} \sim \mathfrak{gl}_2$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \{e_2, f_2, e_3, f_3\}$. We denote the corresponding level-1 generators by $\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{E}_3, \mathsf{F}_3$.

Definition 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{gl}_2)^{tw}$ denote the associative unital algebra with a basis of eight generators $\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}$ and $\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{E}_3, \mathsf{F}_3$ and the defining level-0 relations (of the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ Lie algebra)*[3]

$$[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}] = \mathsf{h}, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}] = 2\mathsf{e}, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{f}] = -2\mathsf{f}, \quad [\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{k}] = [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}] = [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{k}] = 0, \tag{6.16}$$

level-1 Lie relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{E}_2] = \mathsf{E}_3, \qquad [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{F}_2] = \mathsf{F}_3, \qquad [\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{F}_2] = [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{E}_2] = 0, \\ &[\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{F}_3] = \mathsf{F}_2, \qquad [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{E}_3] = \mathsf{E}_2, \qquad [\mathsf{e}, \mathsf{E}_3] = [\mathsf{f}, \mathsf{F}_3] = 0, \\ &[\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{E}_2] = -\mathsf{E}_2, \quad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{F}_2] = \mathsf{F}_2, \qquad [\mathsf{k}, \mathsf{E}_i] = 3\mathsf{E}_i, \\ &[\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{E}_3] = \mathsf{E}_3, \qquad [\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{F}_3] = -\mathsf{F}_3, \quad [\mathsf{k}, \mathsf{F}_i] = -3\mathsf{F}_i, \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

level-2 horrific relations

$$[\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{E}_3] = 0, \qquad [\mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{F}_3] = 0, \tag{6.18}$$

level-3 horrific relations

$$[\mathsf{E}_2, [\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{F}_3]] = -2\hbar^2\{\mathsf{E}_2, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}\}, \qquad [\mathsf{F}_2, [\mathsf{E}_3, \mathsf{F}_2]] = -2\hbar^2\{\mathsf{F}_2, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}\}. \tag{6.19}$$

Definition 6.6. *The algebra $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{gl}_2)^{tw}$ admits a unique left co-action given by*

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathsf{x}) = \varphi(\mathsf{x}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{x}, \tag{6.20}$$

for all $\mathsf{x} \in \{\mathsf{h}, \mathsf{e}, \mathsf{f}, \mathsf{k}\}$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta_\hbar(\mathsf{E}_i) = \varphi(\mathsf{E}_i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{E}_i + \hbar\,[e_i \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}], \\ &\Delta_\hbar(\mathsf{F}_i) = \varphi(\mathsf{F}_i) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathsf{F}_i + \hbar\,[f_i \otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}], \end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

where

$$\varphi(\mathsf{h}) = h_1, \qquad \varphi(\mathsf{k}) = 2h_2 + h_1, \qquad \varphi(\mathsf{e}) = e_1, \qquad \varphi(\mathsf{f}) = f_1, \tag{6.22}$$

[3]We have the standard $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ basis $\{e_{ij}\}$ with the defining relations $[e_{ij}, e_{kl}] = \delta_{kj}e_{il} - \delta_{il}e_{kj}$ that follow from the identification $e_{11} = -(\mathsf{h} + \mathsf{k})/2$, $e_{22} = (\mathsf{h} - \mathsf{k})/2$, $e_{12} = \mathsf{f}$ and $e_{21} = \mathsf{e}$.

and

$$\varphi(\mathsf{E}_i) = \mathcal{J}(e_i) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,[e_i, C_{\mathfrak{h}}], \qquad \varphi(\mathsf{F}_i) = \mathcal{J}(f_i) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,[f_i, C_{\mathfrak{h}}]. \tag{6.23}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{\mathfrak{h}} = \{\mathsf{e},\mathsf{f}\} + \tfrac{2}{3}(\mathsf{h}^2 + \mathsf{h}\mathsf{k} + \mathsf{k}^2) \in \mathfrak{h},\\ &\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}} = (\varphi\otimes id)\circ\big(\mathsf{e}\otimes\mathsf{f} + \mathsf{f}\otimes\mathsf{e} + \tfrac{2}{3}(\mathsf{h}\otimes\mathsf{h} + \mathsf{k}\otimes\mathsf{k}) + \tfrac{1}{3}(\mathsf{h}\otimes\mathsf{k} + \mathsf{k}\otimes\mathsf{h})\big) \in \mathfrak{g}\otimes\mathfrak{h}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

The co-unit is given by

$$\epsilon(\mathsf{k}) = c \in \mathbb{C}, \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \epsilon(\mathsf{x}) = \epsilon(\mathsf{Y}) = 0, \tag{6.25}$$

for all $\mathsf{x} \in \{\mathsf{e},\mathsf{f},\mathsf{h}\}$ *and* $\mathsf{Y} \in \{\mathsf{E}_i, \mathsf{F}_i\}$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

6.5. **Twisted Yangian of even levels $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{sl}_3)^{tw}$.** The last case contains the trivial involution, $\theta_3 = id$, hence $\mathfrak{g}^\theta = \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$ and $\mathfrak{m} = \emptyset$.

Definition 6.7. *Let $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{sl}_3)^{tw}$ denote the associative unital algebra with sixteen generators $e_i, f_i, h_j, \mathcal{G}(e_i)$, $\mathcal{G}(f_i), \mathcal{G}(h_j)$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 1, 2$, obeying the standard $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ Lie algebra relations of the Cartan–Chevalley basis and the standard level-2 Lie relations*

$$[x, \mathcal{G}(y)] = \mathcal{G}([x, y]), \qquad \mathcal{G}(a\,x + b\,y) = a\,\mathcal{G}(x) + b\,\mathcal{G}(y),$$

for any $x, y \in \{e_i, f_i, h_j\}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, and the following level-4 horrific relation

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{G}(h_1), \mathcal{G}(h_2)] = {}& \hbar^2\big(\{e_1, e_2, \mathcal{G}(f_3)\} + \{f_1, f_2, \mathcal{G}(e_3)\}\big) - \tfrac{\hbar^4}{2}\big(\{e_1, e_2, f_3\} + \{f_1, f_2, e_3\}\big)\\ &+ \hbar^2\big(\{f_3, e_2, \mathcal{G}(e_1)\} + \{f_3, e_1, \mathcal{G}(e_2)\} + \{e_3, f_2, \mathcal{G}(f_1)\} + \{e_3, f_1, \mathcal{G}(f_2)\}\big)\\ &+ \tfrac{\hbar^2}{2}\big(\{h_1, f_2, \mathcal{G}(e_2)\} - \{h_1, e_2, \mathcal{G}(f_2)\} - \{h_2, f_1, \mathcal{G}(e_1)\} + \{h_2, e_1, \mathcal{G}(f_1)\}\big)\\ &+ \tfrac{\hbar^2}{4}(\{h_1, e_1, \mathcal{G}(f_1)\} - \{h_1, f_1, \mathcal{G}(e_1)\} - \{h_2, e_2, \mathcal{G}(f_2)\} + \{h_2, f_2, \mathcal{G}(e_2)\}\\ &\qquad + \{h_1 - h_2, e_3, \mathcal{G}(f_3)\} - \{h_1 - h_2, f_3, \mathcal{G}(e_3)\})\\ &- \tfrac{\hbar^4}{4}\big(\{e_1, e_1, e_2, f_1, f_3\} + \{e_1, e_2, e_2, f_2, f_3\} - \{e_1, e_2, e_3, f_3, f_3\}\\ &\qquad + \{f_1, f_1, f_2, e_1, e_3\} + \{f_1, f_2, f_2, e_2, e_3\} - \{f_1, f_2, f_3, e_3, e_3\}\big)\\ &- \tfrac{\hbar^4}{12}\big(\{e_1, e_2, h_1, h_1, f_3\} + \{e_1, e_2, h_1, h_2, f_3\} + \{e_1, e_2, h_2, h_2, f_3\}\\ &\qquad + \{f_1, f_2, h_1, h_1, e_3\} + \{f_1, f_2, h_1, h_2, e_3\} + \{f_1, f_2, h_2, h_2, e_3\}\big). \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Definition 6.8. *The algebra $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_3, \mathfrak{sl}_3)^{tw}$ admits a left co-action given by*

$$\Delta_\hbar(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x_i\,, \tag{6.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = {}& \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mathcal{G}(x_i) + \hbar\,[\mathcal{J}(x_i) \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}]\\ &+ \tfrac{1}{4}\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\alpha_i^{\,jk}\,\hbar^2\,[[x_k \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}], [x_j \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}]] + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar^2\,[[x_i \otimes 1, \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}], \Omega_{\mathfrak{g}}]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

where $\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = \mathcal{K}(x_i) + \frac{1}{4}\hbar\,[\mathcal{J}(x_i), C_{\mathfrak{g}}]$ and $\mathcal{K}(x_i) = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\alpha_i^{\,kj}[\mathcal{J}(x_j), \mathcal{J}(x_k)]$ with $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}} = 6$, namely [4]

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}(e_1) = \tfrac{1}{3}([\mathcal{J}(e_3), \mathcal{J}(f_2)] + [\mathcal{J}(h_1), \mathcal{J}(e_1)]), && \mathcal{K}(f_1) = \tfrac{1}{3}([\mathcal{J}(f_3), \mathcal{J}(e_2)] - [\mathcal{J}(h_1), \mathcal{J}(f_1)]),\\ &\mathcal{K}(e_2) = \tfrac{1}{3}([\mathcal{J}(f_1), \mathcal{J}(e_3)] + [\mathcal{J}(h_2), \mathcal{J}(e_2)]), && \mathcal{K}(f_2) = \tfrac{1}{3}([\mathcal{J}(e_1), \mathcal{J}(f_3)] - [\mathcal{J}(h_2), \mathcal{J}(f_2)]),\\ &\mathcal{K}(e_3) = \tfrac{1}{3}([\mathcal{J}(e_1), \mathcal{J}(e_2)] + [\mathcal{J}(h_1{+}h_2), \mathcal{J}(e_3)]), && \mathcal{K}(f_3) = \tfrac{1}{3}([\mathcal{J}(f_1), \mathcal{J}(f_2)] - [\mathcal{J}(h_1{+}h_2), \mathcal{J}(f_3)]), \end{aligned}$$

[4] The non-zero structure constants $\alpha_i^{\,kj}$ for $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ in the Cartan-Chevalley basis can be read from here.

and

$$\mathcal{K}(h_1) = \tfrac{1}{3}(2[\mathcal{J}(e_1),\mathcal{J}(f_1)] + [\mathcal{J}(f_2),\mathcal{J}(e_2)] + [\mathcal{J}(f_3),\mathcal{J}(e_3)]),$$
$$\mathcal{K}(h_2) = \tfrac{1}{3}(2[\mathcal{J}(e_2),\mathcal{J}(f_2)] + [\mathcal{J}(f_1),\mathcal{J}(e_1)] + [\mathcal{J}(f_3),\mathcal{J}(e_3)]).$$

The co-unit is $\epsilon(x_i) = \epsilon(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = 0$.

7. Proofs

7.1. **Proofs of isomorphisms for Drinfel'd basis.**

7.1.1. *Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Let $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$. Define level-$n$ Drinfel'd generators of $\mathcal{L}^+$ by

$$J^{(n)}(x_a) = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\alpha_a^{cb}[J^{(1)}(x_b), J^{(n-1)}(x_c)], \tag{7.1}$$

where $J^{(1)}(x_a) = J(x_a)$ and $J^{(0)}(x_a) = x_a$. We need to show that

$$[J^{(n)}(x_b), J^{(m)}(x_c)] = \alpha_{bc}{}^{a} J^{(n+m)}(x_a) \tag{7.2}$$

holds for all $n+m \geq 0$, which is equivalent to the (standard) half-loop Lie algebra basis (3.1) upon identification $J^{(n)}(x_a) \to x_a^{(n)}$. The cases $n+m=0$ and $n+m=1$ are given by (3.2). The case $n+m=2$ follows from the level-2 Drinfel'd terrific relation (3.3). Indeed, we can rewrite (7.2) as

$$\alpha_c^{\,ad}[J^{(n)}(x_b), [x_d, J^{(m)}(x_a)]] = \alpha_c^{\,ad}[x_b, [J^{(1)}(x_d), J^{(1)}(x_a)]],$$

which gives

$$\alpha_c^{\,ad}([J^{(n)}(x_b), [x_d, J^{(m)}(x_a)]] + [J^{(1)}(x_a), [x_b, J^{(1)}(x_d)]] + [J^{(1)}(x_d), [x_a, J^{(1)}(x_b)]]) = 0.$$

For $n = m = 1$ it is equivalent to the level-2 Drinfel'd terrific relation and for $n \neq m$ this equality follows from definition (7.1) and the Jacobi identity (2.1). Let us recall that for the $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) = 1$ case, this level-2 terrific relation is trivial and one has to consider the level-3 terrific relation (5.23) instead, which can be constructed in a similar way.

To prove that (7.2) holds for all $n+m \geq 3$, provided it holds for $0 \leq n+m \leq 2$ and $n+m \geq 0$, we will use the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ be the Cartan subalegbra, $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the root system, and $\Delta = \Delta^+ \cup \Delta^-$ be a polarization of Δ ($\Delta^- = -\Delta^+$) and $\Gamma = \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N\}$ be the set of positive simple roots. We have $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{l} \oplus (\oplus_\alpha \mathfrak{g}_\alpha)$ with $\mathfrak{l} = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{h_{\alpha_i} \,|\, \alpha_i \in \Gamma\}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = span_{\mathbb{C}}\{x \in \mathfrak{g} \,|\, [h,x] = \alpha(h)x, \forall h \in \mathfrak{l}\}$. Choose $e_{\pm\alpha} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\alpha}$ such that $(e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha})_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1$ (note that $(h_\alpha, e_\beta)_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, and $(e_\alpha, e_\beta)_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$ if $\alpha + \beta \notin \Delta$) and set $h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}]$ and $\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle = \alpha(h_\beta)$. Moreover, we have $h_{-\alpha} = -h_\alpha$ and $h_{\alpha+\beta} = h_\alpha + h_\beta$.

Define level-n generators by

$$e_\alpha^{(n)} = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle^{-1} \mathrm{ad}h_\alpha^{(1)}(e_\alpha^{(n-1)}), \qquad h_\alpha^{(n)} = \mathrm{ad}e_\alpha^{(1)}(e_{-\alpha}^{(n-1)}),$$

where $h_\alpha^{(0)} = h_\alpha$, $e_\alpha^{(0)} = e_\alpha$ and $h_\alpha^{(1)}$, $e_\alpha^{(1)}$ are the level-0 and level-1 Drinfel'd generators, respectively. Then (7.2) is equivalent to

$$[h_\alpha^{(n)}, h_\beta^{(m)}] = 0, \quad [h_\alpha^{(n)}, e_\beta^{(m)}] = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\, e_\beta^{(n+m)}, \quad [e_\alpha^{(n)}, e_\beta^{(m)}] = \begin{cases} N_{\alpha\beta}\, e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(n+m)} & \text{if } \alpha+\beta \in \Delta, \\ h_\alpha^{(n+m)} & \text{if } \alpha+\beta = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha+\beta \notin \Delta, \end{cases} \tag{7.3}$$

for some $N_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying $N_{\alpha\beta} = -N_{\beta\alpha}$. We will prove (7.3) by induction. The base of induction is given by the cases with $0 \leq m+n \leq 2$. Now suppose (7.3) is true for some $n+m = k \geq 3$. The action of $\mathrm{ad}h_\beta^{(1)}$ on the second relation gives

$$[h_\alpha^{(n)}, e_\beta^{(m+1)}] = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle\, e_\beta^{(k+1)}.$$

The action of $\mathrm{ad}e^{(1)}_{-\beta}$ leads to

$$\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle[e^{(n+1)}_{-\beta},e^{(m)}_{\beta}]-[h^{(n)}_{\alpha},h^{(m+1)}_{\beta}]=\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\,h^{(k+1)}_{\beta}. \tag{7.4}$$

Let $\alpha+\beta\in\Delta$. Act with $\mathrm{ad}e^{(1)}_{-\alpha-\beta}$ on the third relation in (7.3), use induction hypothesis and require linearity $h^{(k+1)}_{\alpha+\beta}=h^{(k+1)}_{\alpha}+h^{(k+1)}_{\beta}$. In such a way we obtain

$$N_{-\alpha-\beta,\alpha}[e^{(n+1)}_{-\beta},e^{(m)}_{\beta}]+N_{-\alpha-\beta,\beta}[e^{(n)}_{\alpha},e^{(m+1)}_{-\alpha}]=N_{\alpha\beta}(h^{(k+1)}_{\alpha}+h^{(k+1)}_{\beta}),$$

which gives

$$[e^{(n)}_{\alpha},e^{(m+1)}_{-\alpha}]=h^{(k+1)}_{\alpha}\qquad\text{and}\qquad[e^{(n+1)}_{-\beta},e^{(m)}_{\beta}]=h^{(k+1)}_{\beta}. \tag{7.5}$$

Here we have used $N_{-\alpha-\beta,\beta}=-N_{-\alpha-\beta,\alpha}=N_{\alpha\beta}$, which follows from an equivalent calculation for the $m=n=0$ case. Set $\beta=-\alpha$. Then

$$[e^{(n)}_{\alpha},e^{(m+1)}_{-\alpha}]=[e^{(m)}_{\alpha},e^{(n+1)}_{-\alpha}].$$

Combining (7.4) with (7.5) we find

$$[h^{(n)}_{\alpha},h^{(m+1)}_{\beta}]=0. \tag{7.6}$$

Now consider the identity

$$[h^{(n+1)}_{\alpha},e^{(m-1)}_{\beta}]=\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\,e^{(k)}_{\beta}.$$

Acting with $\mathrm{ad}h^{(1)}_{\alpha}$ and using (7.6) we get

$$[h^{(n+1)}_{\alpha},e^{(m)}_{\beta}]=\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\,e^{(k+1)}_{\beta}.$$

To obtain the remaining relations we act with $\mathrm{ad}h^{(1)}_{\alpha}$ on the third relation in (7.3). This gives

$$\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle[e^{(n+1)}_{\alpha},e^{(m)}_{\beta}]+\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle[e^{(n)}_{\alpha},e^{(m+1)}_{\beta}]=N_{\alpha\beta}\langle\alpha,\alpha+\beta\rangle e^{(k+1)}_{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{7.7}$$

The same operation with $\mathrm{ad}h^{(1)}_{\beta}$ leads to

$$\langle\beta,\alpha\rangle[e^{(n+1)}_{\alpha},e^{(m)}_{\beta}]+\langle\beta,\beta\rangle[e^{(n)}_{\alpha},e^{(m+1)}_{\beta}]=N_{\alpha\beta}\langle\beta,\alpha+\beta\rangle e^{(k+1)}_{\alpha+\beta}. \tag{7.8}$$

Multiply (7.7) by $\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle$ and (7.8) by $\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle$ and take the difference,

$$\left(\langle\beta,\beta\rangle\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle-\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle^2\right)[e^{(n)}_{\alpha},e^{(m+1)}_{\beta}]=\left(\langle\beta,\alpha+\beta\rangle\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle-\langle\alpha,\alpha+\beta\rangle\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\right)N_{\alpha\beta}\,e^{(k+1)}_{\alpha+\beta},$$

which gives

$$[e^{(n)}_{\alpha},e^{(m+1)}_{\beta}]=N_{\alpha\beta}\,e^{(k+1)}_{\alpha+\beta}\quad\text{ if }\quad\alpha+\beta\in\Delta,$$

since $\left(\langle\beta,\beta\rangle\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle-\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle^2\right)=\left(\langle\beta,\alpha+\beta\rangle\langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle-\langle\alpha,\alpha+\beta\rangle\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle\right)\neq 0$ unless $\beta\neq t\alpha$ for some $t\in\mathbb{N}$, but this is excluded. Now suppose $\alpha+\beta\notin\Delta$. Then a similar calculation gives

$$[e^{(n)}_{\alpha},e^{(m+1)}_{\beta}]=0\quad\text{ if }\quad\alpha+\beta\notin\Delta.$$

This proves the induction hypothesis and thus (7.2). The isomorphism with the standard loop basis follows straightforwardly from (7.2). □

7.1.2. *Proof of Proposition 3.2.* Let $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g}) \geq 2$. Consider the symmetric space basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ and set $B^{(0)}(Y_p) = Y_p$, $B^{(0)}(X_\alpha) = X_\alpha$ and $B^{(1)}(Y_p) = J(Y_p)$. Define the higher level generators by

$$B^{(2n+1)}(Y_p) = 2\,\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \sum_{\alpha} g_p^{\alpha q}\,[B^{(1)}(Y_q), B^{(2n)}(X_\alpha)], \tag{7.9}$$

$$B^{(2n+2)}(X_\alpha) = (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} g_\alpha^{qp}\,[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(2n+1)}(X_\alpha)]. \tag{7.10}$$

These generators are required to satisfy the following relations:

$$[B^{(2k+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2n-2k+1)}(Y_q)] = \sum_{\alpha} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} B^{(2n+2)}(X_\alpha), \tag{7.11}$$

$$[B^{(2k+1)}(Y_p), B^{(2n-2k)}(X_\alpha)] = g_{p\alpha}{}^{q} B^{(2n+1)}(Y_q), \tag{7.12}$$

$$[B^{(2k)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2n-2k)}(X_\beta)] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} B^{(2n)}(X_\gamma), \tag{7.13}$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. It is easy to see that the map $B^{(2n+1)}(Y_p) \to Y_p^{(2n+1)}$ and $B^{(2n)}(X_\alpha) \to X_\alpha^{(2n)}$ gives the θ-fixed subalgebra $\mathcal{H}^+$ of $\mathcal{L}^+$ (3.6). We need to show that (7.11) with $k = n = 0$, (7.12) with $k = 0$, $n = 1$ and (7.13) with $k = n = 0$ together with the induction relations (7.9) and (7.10) define $\mathcal{H}^+$ uniquely. Moreover, we need to show that the first two relations follow from (3.8) and (3.9).

The level-2 generators are defined by

$$\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)} B^{(2)}(X_\alpha) = w_\alpha^{qp} [B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(1)}(Y_q)]. \tag{7.14}$$

They are required to satisfy the following identities:

$$[B^{(0)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2)}(X_\beta)] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} B^{(2)}(X_\gamma), \qquad [B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(1)}(Y_q)] = \sum_{\alpha} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} B^{(2)}(X_\alpha). \tag{7.15}$$

The first identity is the Lie algebra relation for the level-2 generators. It follows by a direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
[B^{(0)}(X_\alpha), B^{(2)}(X_\beta)] &= (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)})^{-1}\, w_\beta^{qp} [X_\alpha, [B(Y_p), B(Y_q)]] && \text{by (7.14)} \\
&= (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)})^{-1}\, w_\beta^{qp} (g_{\alpha q}{}^{r} [B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(1)}(Y_r)] + g_{p\alpha}{}^{r} [B^{(1)}(Y_q), B^{(1)}(Y_r)]) && \text{by (3.7)} \\
&= (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)})^{-1}\, w^{rp}{}_{\gamma} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} [B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(1)}(Y_r)] = f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} B^{(2)}(X_\gamma),
\end{aligned}$$

by the mixed Jacobi identity $w^{pr}{}_{\gamma} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} + w_\beta^{qp} g_{\alpha q}{}^{r} + w_\beta^{qr} g_{q\alpha}{}^{p} = 0$ and by (7.14).

The second identity ensures that there are exactly $h = \dim(\mathfrak{g}^\theta)$ level-2 generators. It gives the level-2 horrific relation (3.8):

$$[B^{(1)}(Y_p), B^{(1)}(Y_q)] = \sum_{\alpha} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} B^{(2)}(X_\alpha) = \sum_{\alpha} w_{pq}{}^{\alpha} (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} w_\alpha^{st} [B^{(1)}(Y_t), B^{(1)}(Y_s)].$$

Now consider the level-3 generator defined by

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\, B^{(3)}(Y_p) = 2 \sum_{\alpha} g_p^{\alpha q} [B^{(1)}(Y_q), B^{(2)}(X_\alpha)]. \tag{7.16}$$

We require $B^{(3)}(Y_p)$ to satisfy the following identities:

$$[B^{(0)}(X_\alpha), B^{(3)}(Y_p)] = g_{\alpha p}{}^{q} B^{(3)}(Y_q), \qquad [B^{(1)}(Y_r), B^{(2)}(X_\alpha)] = g_{r\alpha}{}^{s} B^{(3)}(Y_s). \tag{7.17}$$

The first identity is the Lie algebra relation for the level-3 generators. The second identity ensures that there are exactly $m = \dim(\mathfrak{m})$ level-3 generators. Let us show the first identity. We will need the following mixed Jacobi relation

$$g_p^{\beta q} f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu} - g_p^{\mu r} g_{r\alpha}{}^{q} - g_r^{\mu q} g_{\alpha p}{}^{r} = 0. \tag{7.18}$$

Use definition (7.16). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}[B^{(0)}(X_\alpha),B^{(3)}(Y_p)] &= 2\sum_\beta g_p^{\beta q}(f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu}[B^{(1)}(Y_q),B^{(2)}(X_\mu)] - g_{q\alpha}{}^{r}[B^{(1)}(Y_r),B^{(2)}(X_\beta)]) && \text{by (7.15)}\\
&= 2(g_p^{\beta q}f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu}[B^{(1)}(Y_q),B^{(2)}(X_\mu)] - g_p^{\beta q}g_{q\alpha}{}^{r}[B^{(1)}(Y_r),B^{(2)}(X_\beta)]) && \text{by 2.8}\\
&= 2(g_p^{\beta q}f_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\mu}[B^{(1)}(Y_q),B^{(2)}(X_\mu)] - g_p^{\mu r}g_{r\alpha}{}^{q}[B^{(1)}(Y_q),B^{(2)}(X_\mu)]) && \text{by ren. } \beta\to\mu,\ q\leftrightarrow r\\
&= 2\,g_r^{\mu q}g_{\alpha p}{}^{r}[B^{(1)}(Y_q),B^{(2)}(X_\mu)] = \mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\,g_{ip}{}^{q}B^{(3)}(Y_q) && \text{by (7.18) and (7.16).}
\end{aligned}$$

For the second identity in (7.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}[B^{(2)}(X_\alpha),B^{(1)}(Y_r)] &= \sum_\alpha(\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1}w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}w_{\alpha}^{st}\,[[B^{(1)}(Y_t),B^{(1)}(Y_s)],B^{(1)}(Y_r)] && \text{by (7.14)}\\
&= [[B^{(1)}(Y_p),B^{(1)}(Y_q)],B^{(1)}(Y_r)] && (7.19)
\end{aligned}$$

by (3.8), and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}g_{r\alpha}{}^{s}B^{(3)}(Y_s) &= \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\,w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}g_{r\alpha}{}^{s}g_s{}^{u\beta}[B^{(2)}(X_\beta),B^{(1)}(Y_u)] && \text{by (7.16)}\\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta}\frac{2\,\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}}^{tu}}{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)}}\,w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}g_{r\alpha}{}^{s}g_{st}{}^{\beta}w_{\beta}^{vx}\,[[B^{(1)}(Y_x),B^{(1)}(Y_v)],B^{(1)}(Y_u)] && \text{by (7.14)}\\
&= 2\,\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}\kappa_{\mathfrak{m}}^{tu}\,w_{pq}{}^{\alpha}g_{r\alpha}{}^{s}\,[[B^{(1)}(Y_s),B^{(1)}(Y_t)],B^{(1)}(Y_u)] && \text{by (3.8),}
\end{aligned}$$

which combined with (7.19) gives the level-3 horrific relation (3.9). For completeness, let us recall that for the $\mathrm{rank}(\mathfrak{g})=1$ case, the level-2 and level-3 horrific relation are trivial and one has to consider a level-4 horrific relation instead. This can be shown in a similar way.

We need to show that (7.11) and (7.13) hold for all $n\geq 1$, and that (7.12) holds for all $n\geq 2$ provided they hold for $n=0$ and $n=1$, respectively. We will use the symmetric space basis of the Cartan decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ and an induction hypothesis to complete the proof. For simplicity, we will restrict to a special case of a symmetric pair with the Cartan decomposition given by $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{l}_{\mathfrak{h}}\oplus(\oplus_\alpha\mathfrak{h}_\alpha)$ and $\mathfrak{m}=(\oplus_\mu\mathfrak{m}_\mu)$ with $\alpha\in\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\mu\in\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}$, the roots of $\mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$, respectively. This case corresponds to the symmetric pair of type AIII.

We will use the lower case letters to denote the generators of even levels and the upper case letters for the odd levels. We define level-$(2k+2)$ generators $\{h_\alpha^{(2k+2)},e_\beta^{(2k+2)}\}$ by

$$h_\alpha^{(2k+2)} = \mathrm{ad}E_\alpha^{(1)}(E_{-\alpha}^{(2k+1)}), \tag{7.20}$$

$$e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(2k+2)} = (N_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}\mathrm{ad}E_\alpha^{(1)}(E_\beta^{(2k+1)}),\quad \beta+\alpha\in\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}, \tag{7.21}$$

and level-$(2k+3)$ generators $\{E_\mu^{(2k+3)}\}$ by

$$E_\mu^{(2k+3)} = \langle\alpha,\mu\rangle^{-1}[h_\alpha^{(2k+2)},E_\mu^{(1)}], \tag{7.22}$$

where $h_\alpha^{(0)}=h_\alpha$, $e_\alpha^{(0)}=e_\alpha$ and $E_\mu^{(1)}=E_\mu$ are the level-0 and level-1 *Drinfel'd basis* generators. Let $\alpha,\beta\in\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$, $\mu,\nu,\lambda\in\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}$, and $\gamma,\delta\in\Delta$ denote any root. The relations (7.11), (7.12) and (7.13) in this basis are equivalent to

$$[h_\gamma^{(2n)},h_\delta^{(2m)}]=0,\quad [h_\gamma^{(2n)},e_\beta^{(2m)}]=\langle\gamma,\beta\rangle\,e_\beta^{(2n+2m)},\quad [e_\alpha^{(2n)},e_\beta^{(2m)}]=\begin{cases}N_{\alpha\beta}\,e_{\alpha+\beta}^{(2n+2m)} & \text{if } \alpha+\beta\in\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}},\\ h_\alpha^{(2n+2m)} & \text{if } \alpha+\beta=0,\\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha+\beta\notin\Delta_{\mathfrak{h}},\end{cases} \tag{7.23}$$

$$[h_\gamma^{(2n)}, E_\mu^{(2m+1)}] = \langle \gamma, \mu \rangle \, E_\mu^{(2n+2m+1)}, \quad [e_\alpha^{(2n)}, E_\mu^{(2m+1)}] = \begin{cases} N_{\alpha\mu} \, E_{\alpha+\mu}^{(2n+2m+1)} & \text{if } \alpha+\mu \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha+\mu \notin \Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}, \end{cases} \tag{7.24}$$

$$[E_\mu^{(2n+1)}, E_\nu^{(2m+1)}] = \begin{cases} N_{\mu\nu} \, e_{\mu+\nu}^{(2n+2m+2)} & \text{if } \mu+\nu \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ h_\mu^{(2n+2m+2)} & \mu+\nu = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu+\nu \notin \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}. \end{cases} \tag{7.25}$$

Suppose that the relations above hold for all levels up to $2k+1 \geq 3$, the marginal case being the base of induction consisting of (7.23), (7.24) and (7.25) with $m = n = 0$, which give level-0, level-1 and level-2 relations, respectively, and (7.24) with $m = 0$ and $n = 1$ giving level-3 relations.

Consider the action of $-\mathrm{ad}E_{-\mu}$ on the first relation in (7.24) and of $-\mathrm{ad}E_{-\alpha-\mu}$ on the second relation in (7.24) assuming $\alpha+\mu \in \Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Use definitions (7.20) and (7.21) for level-$(2k+2)$ generators $h_\mu^{(2k+2)}$ and $e_\alpha^{(2k+2)}$ and level-$(2k)$ relations (7.23) and (7.25), that hold due to the induction hypothesis. In this way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \gamma, \mu \rangle \, h_\mu^{(2k+2)} &= [h_\gamma^{(2n)}, h_\mu^{(2m+2)}] - \langle \gamma, \mu \rangle \, [E_{-\mu}^{(2n+1)}, E_\mu^{(2m+1)}], \\ N_{\alpha\mu} \, h_{\alpha+\mu}^{(2k+2)} &= N_{\alpha,-\alpha-\mu} [E_{-\mu}^{(2n+1)}, E_\mu^{(2m+1)}] + N_{-\alpha-\mu,\mu} [e_\alpha^{(2n)}, e_{-\alpha}^{(2m+2)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha = \gamma$. Requiring linearity $h_{\alpha+\mu}^{(2k+2)} = h_\alpha^{(2k+2)} + h_\mu^{(2k+2)}$ and using $N_{\alpha\mu} = N_{-\alpha-\mu,\mu} = N_{\alpha,-\alpha-\mu}$, which follows from the level-0 relations of $\mathfrak{g}$, we find

$$[e_\alpha^{(2n)}, e_{-\alpha}^{(2m+2)}] = [e_\alpha^{(2n+2)}, e_{-\alpha}^{(2m)}] = h_\alpha^{(2k+2)}, \quad [E_\mu^{(2n+1)}, E_{-\mu}^{(2m+1)}] = h_\mu^{(2k+2)}. \tag{7.26}$$

Now let γ be arbitrary and choose μ such that $\mu = \nu + \alpha$ for some ν and α. This allows us to obtain the required general form

$$[h_\gamma^{(2n)}, h_\delta^{(2m+2)}] = [h_\gamma^{(2n+2)}, h_\delta^{(2m)}] = 0, \tag{7.27}$$

for any δ. Next, act with $\mathrm{ad}E_{\beta-\mu}$ on the first relation in (7.24) and with $\mathrm{ad}E_{-\mu}$ on the second relation in (7.24). This gives

$$\langle \gamma, \mu \rangle N_{\beta-\mu,\mu} \, e_\beta^{(2k+2)} = N_{\beta-\mu,\mu} \, [h_\gamma^{(2n)}, e_\beta^{(2m+2)}] - \langle \gamma, \beta-\mu \rangle \, [E_{\beta-\mu}^{(2n+1)}, E_\mu^{(2m+1)}], \tag{7.28}$$

$$N_{\beta\mu} \, N_{-\mu,\beta+\mu} \, e_\beta^{(2k+2)} = -[e_\beta^{(2n)}, h_\mu^{(2m+2)}] + N_{-\mu,\beta} \, [E_{\beta-\mu}^{(2n+1)}, E_\mu^{(2m+1)}]. \tag{7.29}$$

The action of $\mathrm{ad}e_\alpha$ on (7.27) gives

$$[h_\gamma^{(2m+2)}, e_\alpha^{(2n)}] = [h_\gamma^{(2n)}, e_\alpha^{(2m+2)}]. \tag{7.30}$$

Set $\gamma = \mu$. Add together (7.28) and (7.29) times $-N_{\beta-\mu,\mu}$ and use (7.30). We obtain

$$(\langle \mu, \mu \rangle - N_{\beta\mu} \, N_{-\mu,\beta+\mu} \,) \, N_{\beta-\mu,\mu} \, e_\beta^{(2k+2)} = (N_{\beta,-\mu} N_{\beta-\mu,\mu} - \langle \mu, \beta-\mu \rangle) \, [E_{\beta-\mu}^{(2n+1)}, E_\mu^{(2m+1)}].$$

Use the corresponding Lie algebra relations to equate the coefficients. In such a way we find

$$[E_{\beta-\mu}^{(2n+1)}, E_\mu^{(2m+1)}] = N_{\beta-\mu,\mu} \, e_\beta^{(2k+2)}. \tag{7.31}$$

Combining this identity with (7.30) and (7.28), and equating the coefficients we find

$$[h_\gamma^{(2m+2)}, e_\beta^{(2n)}] = [h_\gamma^{(2m)}, e_\beta^{(2n+2)}] = \langle \gamma, \beta \rangle \, e_\beta^{(2k+2)}. \tag{7.32}$$

Let $\alpha+\mu \notin \Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Act with $\mathrm{ad}E_{\beta-\mu}^{(1)}$ on the second relation in (7.24), use the induction hypothesis and, without the loss of generality, require $\alpha+\beta \notin \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\alpha+\beta-\mu \notin \Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}$. This gives the remaining level-$(2k+1)$ relation in (7.23). Now repeat the same steps but with $\mathrm{ad}E_{\nu-\alpha}^{(1)}$ instead and require $\nu+\mu-\alpha \notin \Delta_{\mathfrak{h}}$. This gives the last level-$(2k+1)$ relation in (7.25).

We have demonstrated that all level-$(2k+2)$ relations hold provided all the defining relation of level no greater than $(2k+1)$ hold. It remains to show that level-$(2k+3)$ relations in (7.24) also hold. Consider (7.23) with $2n+2m+2=2k+2$. Acting with $-\mathrm{ad}E_\mu^{(1)}$ on the first relation we find

$$\langle\gamma,\mu\rangle\,[E_\mu^{(2n+1)},h_\delta^{(2m)}]+\langle\delta,\mu\rangle\,[h_\gamma^{(2n)},E_\mu^{(2m+1)}]=0. \tag{7.33}$$

Set $\delta=\gamma$ and use the induction hypothesis and definition (7.22). Then

$$[h_\gamma^{(2m)},E_\mu^{(2n+1)}]=[h_\gamma^{(2n)},E_\mu^{(2m+1)}]=[h_\gamma^{(2k+2)},E_\mu^{(1)}]=\langle\gamma,\mu\rangle\,E_\mu^{(2k+3)}. \tag{7.34}$$

Next, act with $-\mathrm{ad}E_\mu^{(1)}$ on the second relation in (7.23). We obtain

$$\langle\gamma,\mu\rangle\,[E_\mu^{(2n+1)},e_\beta^{(2m)}]+N_{\beta\mu}\langle\gamma,\mu+\beta\rangle E_{\mu+\beta}^{(2k+3)}=\langle\gamma,\beta\rangle\,[e_\beta^{(2k+2)},E_\mu^{(1)}]\quad\text{if}\quad\mu+\beta\in\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}, \tag{7.35}$$

$$\langle\gamma,\mu\rangle\,[E_\mu^{(2n+1)},e_\beta^{(2m)}]=\langle\gamma,\beta\rangle\,[e_\beta^{(2k+2)},E_\mu^{(1)}]\quad\text{if}\quad\mu+\beta\notin\Delta_{\mathfrak{m}}. \tag{7.36}$$

For $n=0$ and $m=k+1$ the first relation becomes $[e_\beta^{(2k+2)},E_\mu^{(1)}]=N_{\beta\mu}E_{\mu+\beta}^{(2k+3)}$, which combined with the initial expression gives the required relation for arbitrary $2n+2m=2k+3$, namely

$$[e_\beta^{(2m)},E_\mu^{(2n+1)}]=N_{\beta,\mu}E_{\mu+\beta}^{(2k+3)}. \tag{7.37}$$

Now consider (7.36). Set $n=0$ and $m=k+1$. Then $[e_\beta^{(2k+2)},E_\mu^{(1)}]=0$, which gives $[E_\mu^{(2n+1)},e_\beta^{(2m)}]=0$ for all $2m+2n=2k+2$. Hence we have demonstrated that all level-$(2k+3)$ relations in (7.24) hold. This proves the induction hypothesis and completes proof for the AIII case. The proof of the symmetric space basis for the general case can be shown using the same strategy (see [Ara 62] for the complete details of the Cartan decomposition for the general case). □

To end this section we remark that we would welcome a proof of the Drinfel'd basis for the half-loop and twisted half-loop Lie algebras, which would not be based on the Cartan decomposition of the underlying Lie algebra.

7.2. **Proofs of coactions.**

7.2.1. *Proof of Proposition 5.1.* The Lie bi-ideal structure on $\mathcal{H}^+$ defines the coaction up to the first order in $\hbar$,

$$\Delta_\hbar(x)=\varphi(x)\otimes 1+1\otimes x+\hbar\,\tau(x)+\mathcal{O}(\hbar^2),$$

with $x\in\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})^{tw}$ and φ the natural embedding $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})^{tw}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$.

For the level zero generators of $\mathcal{H}^+$ the Lie bi-ideal structure is trivial and the minimal form of the coaction is given by

$$\Delta_\hbar(X_\alpha)=\varphi(X_\alpha)\otimes 1+1\otimes X_\alpha.$$

The coideal compatibility relations (5.1) and (5.2), and the classical limit requirement $\varphi(X_\alpha)|_{\hbar\to 0}=X_\alpha$ implies that $\varphi(X_\alpha)=X_\alpha$ is the natural inclusion $\varphi: X_\alpha\in\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})^{tw}\mapsto X_\alpha\in\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$.

For the level one generators of $\mathcal{H}^+$ the Lie bi-ideal structure is non trivial. The simplest coaction is given by

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))=\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_p)+\hbar\,[Y_p\otimes 1,\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}}].$$

As previously, the coaction must satisfy relations (5.1) and (5.2), and in the classical limit we must obtain $\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))|_{\hbar\to 0}=B(Y_p)$. By (5.2) it follows

$$\Delta_\hbar(\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)))=\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))\otimes 1+1\otimes\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p))+\hbar\,[Y_q\otimes 1,\Omega_{\mathfrak{h}}].$$

Consider an ansatz $\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \hbar F_p^{(0)}$ with some level zero element $F_p^{(0)} \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$. We have $\Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{J}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p)\otimes 1 + 1\otimes \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\,[Y_p\otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]$. The coinvariance property implies

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \hbar F_p^{(0)}) - \big((\mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \hbar F_p^{(0)})\otimes 1 + 1\otimes(\mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \hbar F_p^{(0)})\big) = \hbar\,[Y_p\otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{h}],$$

giving

$$\Delta_\hbar(F_p^{(0)}) - (F_p^{(0)}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes F_p^{(0)}) = \frac{1}{2}[Y_p\otimes 1, 2\,\Omega_\mathfrak{h} - \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] = \frac{1}{2}\sum_\alpha (g_p{}^{\alpha q} Y_q\otimes X_\alpha - w_p{}^{q\alpha} X_\alpha\otimes Y_q)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_\alpha g_p{}^{\alpha q}(Y_q\otimes X_\alpha + X_\alpha\otimes Y_q).$$

We choose $F_p^{(0)} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_\alpha g_p{}^{\alpha q}(Y_q X_\alpha + X_\alpha Y_q) = \frac{1}{4}[Y_q, C_X]$ giving

$$\varphi(\mathcal{B}(Y_p)) = \mathcal{J}(Y_p) + \tfrac{1}{4}\hbar\,[Y_q, C_X].$$

It remains to check the coideal coassociativity (5.1) and the homomorphism property $\varphi([b_i,b_j]) = [\varphi(b_i),\varphi(b_j)]$ and $\Delta_\hbar([b_i,b_j]) = [\Delta_\hbar(b_i),\Delta_\hbar(b_j)]$ for all $b_i, b_j \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})^{tw}$, which follow by a direct calculation. □

7.2.2. *Proof of Proposition 5.2.* In what follows we will need the following auxiliary Lemma:

Lemma 7.1. *In a simple Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{g}$ *the following identities hold:*

$$[[x_i\otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_i{}^{jc}\alpha_j{}^{ab} + \alpha_i{}^{jb}\alpha_j{}^{ac})(x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} - \{x_b,x_c\}\otimes x_a), \tag{7.38}$$

$$\alpha_i{}^{jk}[[x_k\otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}], [x_j\otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}]] = \alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cr}\alpha_k{}^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^{a}(x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} + \{x_b,x_c\}\otimes x_a), \tag{7.39}$$

$$6\,\alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cr}\alpha_k{}^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^{a} = \sum_\pi(\alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{\pi(c)r}\alpha_k{}^{\pi(b)s}\alpha_{sr}{}^{\pi(a)}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}(\alpha_i{}^{jc}\alpha_j{}^{ab} + \alpha_i{}^{jb}\alpha_j{}^{ac}). \tag{7.40}$$

Proof. Recall that

$$[a\otimes b, c\otimes d] = [a,c]\otimes\{b,d\} + \{a,c\}\otimes[b,d].$$

For the first identity we have

$$\begin{aligned}[[x_i\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}],\Omega_\mathfrak{g}] &= \alpha_i{}^{bj}\kappa^{kc}[x_j\otimes x_b, x_k\otimes x_c]\\ &= \alpha_i{}^{bj}\kappa^{kc}(\alpha_{jk}{}^{a}x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} + \alpha_{bc}{}^{a}\{x_k,x_j\}\otimes x_a)\\ &= \alpha_i{}^{bj}\kappa^{kc}(\alpha_{jk}{}^{a}x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} - \alpha_{jk}{}^{a}\{x_c,x_b\}\otimes x_a) \qquad \text{by ren. } k,j\leftrightarrow c,b\\ &= \alpha_i{}^{bj}\alpha_j{}^{ca}(x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} - \{x_c,x_b\}\otimes x_a).\end{aligned}$$

For the second identity we have

$$\alpha_i{}^{jk}[[x_k\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}],[x_j\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}]] = \alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_k{}^{br}\alpha_j{}^{cs}[x_r\otimes x_b, x_s\otimes x_c]$$
$$= \alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cs}\alpha_k{}^{br}(\alpha_{rs}{}^{a}x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} + \alpha_{bc}{}^{a}\{x_s,x_r\}\otimes x_a) = \alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cs}\alpha_k{}^{br}\alpha_{rs}{}^{a}(x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} + \{x_c,x_b\}\otimes x_a)$$

by ren. $b,c\leftrightarrow r,s$. The third identity is obtained using the following auxiliary identities

$$2\,\alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cr}\alpha_k{}^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^{a} = \alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cr}(\alpha_k{}^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^{a} + \alpha_k{}^{as}\alpha_{sr}{}^{b}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_i{}^{jc}\alpha_j{}^{ab}, \tag{7.41}$$

$$2\,\alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cr}\alpha_k{}^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^{a} = \alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_k{}^{bs}(\alpha_j{}^{cr}\alpha_{sr}{}^{a} + \alpha_j{}^{ar}\alpha_{sr}{}^{c}) + \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_i{}^{jb}\alpha_j{}^{ac}. \tag{7.42}$$

The first auxiliary identity follows by multiple application of the Jacoby identity:

$$\alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cr}(\alpha_k{}^{bs}\alpha_{sr}{}^{a} - \alpha_k{}^{as}\alpha_{sr}{}^{b}) = \alpha_i{}^{jk}\alpha_j{}^{cr}\alpha_{rk}{}^{s}\alpha_s{}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i{}^{jc}\alpha_j{}^{kr}\alpha_{rk}{}^{s}\alpha_s{}^{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}\alpha_i{}^{jc}\alpha_j{}^{ab}.$$

The second auxiliary identity follows by the $b\leftrightarrow c$ symmetry and renaming $j,s\leftrightarrow k,r$. □

The level zero generators have a trivial bi-ideal structure, hence

$$\Delta_\hbar(x_i) = \varphi(x_i)\otimes 1 + 1\otimes x_i,$$

and $\varphi : x_i \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})^{tw} \mapsto x_i \in \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the natural inclusion. For the level two generators we have

$$\Delta_\hbar(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i))\otimes 1 + 1\otimes \mathcal{G}(x_i) + \hbar[\mathcal{J}(x_i)\otimes 1, \Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \hbar^2 W_i^{(0)},$$

with $W_i^{(0)}$ being a level zero element in $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})^{tw}$. Coinvariance (5.2) implies

$$\Delta_\hbar\big(\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i))\big) = \varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i))\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) + \hbar[J(x_i)\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \hbar^2(1\otimes\varphi)(W_i^{(0)}). \tag{7.43}$$

In the classical limit we must have $\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i))|_{\hbar\to 0} = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\alpha_i^{\ jk}[J(x_k),J(x_j)]$. Define a level two Yangian generator by $K_i^{(2)} = \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\alpha_i^{\ jk}[\mathcal{J}(x_k),\mathcal{J}(x_j)]$ and choose an ansatz $\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = K_i^{(2)} + \hbar F_i^{(1)}$ with some $F_i^{(1)}\in\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g})$ of level one. We have

$$\Delta_\hbar(K_i^{(2)}) = K_i^{(2)}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes K_i^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\,[\mathcal{J}(x_i)\otimes 1 - 1\otimes\mathcal{J}(x_i),\Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\hbar^2\alpha_i^{\ jk}[[x_k\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}],[x_j\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}]]. \tag{7.44}$$

By comparing the $\hbar$-order terms in (7.43) and (7.44) we choose $F_i^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4}[\mathcal{J}(x_i),C_\mathfrak{g}]$. Note that

$$\Delta_\hbar(F_i^{(1)}) = F_i^{(1)}\otimes 1 + 1\otimes F_i^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{J}(x_i)\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\mathcal{J}(x_i),\Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \frac{1}{4}\hbar[[x_i\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}],\Omega_\mathfrak{g}].$$

Now (7.43) gives

$$4W_i^{(0)} = [[x_i\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}],\Omega_\mathfrak{g}] + \mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\alpha_i^{\ jk}[[x_k\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}],[x_j\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}]]. \tag{7.45}$$

The coassociativity relation (5.1) reads as

$$\begin{aligned}\big((\Delta_\hbar\otimes id)\circ\Delta_\hbar - (id\otimes\Delta_\hbar)\circ\Delta_\hbar\big)(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) = \\ \big(\Delta_h(\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i))) - \big(\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i))\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\varphi(\mathcal{G}(x_i)) + \hbar\,[\mathcal{J}(x_i)\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}]\big)\big)\otimes 1 \\ + \hbar^2\big((\Delta_\hbar\otimes id)(W_i^{(0)}) - (id\otimes\Delta_\hbar)(W_i^{(0)}) - 1\otimes W_i^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i^{\ jk}([x_k\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}])\otimes x_j\big).\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact $\varphi(x_i) = x_i$ the relation above gives a constraint

$$(\Delta_\hbar\otimes id)(W_i^{(0)}) - (id\otimes\Delta_\hbar)(W_i^{(0)}) + W_i^{(0)}\otimes 1 - 1\otimes W_i^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_i^{\ jk}([x_k\otimes 1,\Omega_\mathfrak{g}])\otimes x_j = 0. \tag{7.46}$$

Using Lemma (7.1) the explicit form of $W_i^{(0)}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}W_i^{(0)} = \frac{1}{24}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\sum_\pi(\alpha_i^{\ jk}\alpha_j^{\ \pi(c)r}\alpha_k^{\ \pi(b)s}\alpha_{sr}^{\ \ \pi(a)})(x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} + \{x_b,x_c\}\otimes x_a) \\ + \frac{1}{12}(\alpha_i^{\ jc}\alpha_j^{\ ab} + \alpha_i^{\ jb}\alpha_j^{\ ac})(2\,x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} - \{x_b,x_c\}\otimes x_a).\end{aligned} \tag{7.47}$$

Denote $W_i^{(0)} = h_i^{\ abc}x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\} + \bar{h}_i^{\ abc}\{x_b,x_c\}\otimes x_a$. Then (7.46) is equivalent to

$$4\bar{h}_i^{\ abc}x_c\otimes x_b\otimes x_a - 4h_i^{\ abc}x_a\otimes x_b\otimes x_c + \alpha_i^{\ jc}\alpha_j^{\ ab}x_a\otimes x_b\otimes x_c = 0,$$

giving $4\bar{h}_i^{\ cba} - 4h_i^{\ abc} + \alpha_i^{\ jc}\alpha_j^{\ ab} = 0$. Using (7.47) we find

$$\begin{aligned}4\bar{h}_i^{\ cba} - 4h_i^{\ abc} + \alpha_i^{\ jc}\alpha_j^{\ ab} &= -\frac{1}{3}(\alpha_i^{\ ja}\alpha_j^{\ cb} + \alpha_i^{\ jb}\alpha_j^{\ ca}) - \frac{2}{3}(\alpha_i^{\ jc}\alpha_j^{\ ab} + \alpha_i^{\ jb}\alpha_j^{\ ac}) + \alpha_i^{\ jc}\alpha_j^{\ ab} \\ &= -\frac{1}{3}(\alpha_i^{\ ja}\alpha_j^{\ cb} + \alpha_i^{\ jb}\alpha_j^{\ ac}) + \frac{1}{3}\alpha_i^{\ jc}\alpha_j^{\ ab} = 0\end{aligned}$$

by the co-Jacobi identity. It remains to check the homomorphism properties $\varphi([b_i,b_j]) = [\varphi(b_i),\varphi(b_j)]$ and $\Delta_\hbar([b_i,b_j]) = [\Delta_\hbar(b_i),\Delta_\hbar(b_j)]$ for all $b_i,b_j\in\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})^{tw}$, which follow by a lengthy but direct calculation. □

7.3. **Proofs of horrific relations.**

7.3.1. *Proof of the horrific relations of Theorem 5.2.* Denote the level-2 and level-3 horrific relations (5.27) and (5.28) as

$$H^{(2)}_{pq} = \hbar^2 \Lambda^{\lambda\mu\nu}_{pq}\{X_\lambda, X_\mu, X_\nu\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad H^{(3)}_{pqr} = \hbar^2 \Upsilon^{\lambda\mu u}_{pqr}\{X_\lambda, X_\mu, \mathcal{B}(Y_u)\},$$

respectively. The defining relations must respect the grading and be even in $\hbar$. The right hand sides are designed to make $\Delta_\hbar$ extend to a homomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{tw} \to \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}^\theta)^{tw}$. This can be checked by a direct calculation.

7.3.2. *Level-2.* Set $B_{pq} = [\mathcal{B}(Y_p), \mathcal{B}(Y_q)]$ and use Proposition 5.1 and the mixed Jacobi identities. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\hbar(B_{pq}) &= \varphi(B_{pq}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes B_{pq} \\ &\quad + \hbar \sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^\mu \Big(w_\mu{}^{st}\, Y_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y_s) + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{J}(X_\beta) \otimes X_\alpha + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_\alpha w_\mu{}^{su} g_u{}^{t\alpha}\, \{Y_s, Y_t\} \otimes X_\alpha \Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{st}{}^\gamma (g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} + g_p{}^{\beta s} g_q{}^{\alpha t})(\{X_\gamma, X_\alpha\} \otimes X_\beta + X_\gamma \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\alpha\}) \end{aligned} \tag{7.48}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(B_{pq}) &= [\mathcal{J}(Y_p), \mathcal{J}(Y_q)] + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^\mu \Big(w_\mu{}^{st} \{\mathcal{J}(Y_s), Y_t\} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta} \{\mathcal{J}(X_\beta), X_\alpha\} \Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}\, g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t}\, [\{Y_s, X_\alpha\}, \{Y_t, X_\beta\}]. \end{aligned} \tag{7.49}$$

By a similar procedure as it was done in the proof of Lemma (7.1), the last line in (7.48) can be factorized as

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\hbar^2}{6} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\lambda,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu f_\mu{}^{\lambda\gamma} f_\lambda{}^{\beta\alpha} (\{X_\gamma, X_\beta\} \otimes X_\alpha - 2X_\alpha \otimes \{X_\beta, X_\gamma\}) \\ &+ \hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} w_{st}{}^\gamma (\langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\rangle_1 + \langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\rangle_2), \end{aligned} \tag{7.50}$$

where we have used the same notation as in (5.17). The terms in the second line of (7.48) and in the first line of (7.50) do not contribute to $\Delta_\hbar(H^{(2)}_{pq})$, since

$$w_{pq}{}^\mu + \sum_\alpha (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\alpha)})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^\alpha w_\alpha{}^{rs} w_{rs}{}^\mu = 0.$$

What remains are the terms in the second line of (7.50) giving

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\hbar(H^{(2)}_{pq}) &= \varphi(H^{(2)}_{pq}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H^{(2)}_{pq} \\ &\quad + \hbar^2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \Big(g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} + \sum_\lambda (\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\lambda)})^{-1} w_{pq}{}^\lambda w_\lambda{}^{us} g_u{}^{\alpha s} g_s{}^{\beta t} \Big) w_{st}{}^\gamma (\langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\rangle_1 + \langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\rangle_2). \end{aligned} \tag{7.51}$$

By (5.18) we have

$$\Delta_\hbar(\{X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\}) - (\{X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \{X_\lambda, X_\mu, X_\nu\}) = 3(\langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\rangle_1 + \langle X_\alpha, X_\beta, X_\gamma\rangle_2). \tag{7.52}$$

Comparing (7.51) with (7.52) gives (5.29), as required.

7.3.3. *Level-3.* Set $B_{pqr}=[B_{pq},\mathcal{B}(Y_r)]$. We write

$$\Delta_\hbar(B_{pqr})=\varphi(B_{pqr})\otimes 1+1\otimes B_{pqr}+\hbar\, B^{(2)}_{pqr}+\hbar^2 B^{(1)}_{pqr}+\hbar^3 B^{(0)}_{pqr}, \tag{7.53}$$

where $B^{(i)}_{pqr}$ denotes elements of grade i. By consistency, the elements of grade two in $\Delta_\hbar(H^{(3)}_{pqr})$ must vanish altogether, since they are of a linear order in $\hbar$. This can be shown explicitly. We have

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(2)}_{pqr}&=\sum_\alpha [[\mathcal{J}(Y_p),\mathcal{J}(Y_q)]\otimes 1+1\otimes[\mathcal{B}(Y_p),\mathcal{B}(Y_q)],g_r^{\alpha t}Y_t\otimes X_\alpha]\\ &\quad+\sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^\mu\,[w_\mu^{st}\,Y_t\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_s)+\sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{J}(X_\beta)\otimes X_\alpha,\mathcal{J}(X_r)\otimes 1+1\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_r)]. \end{aligned} \tag{7.54}$$

Denote this expression as $B^{(2)}_{pqr}=B^{(2,0)}_{pqr}+B^{(0,2)}_{pqr}+B^{(1,1)}_{pqr}$, where $B^{(i,j)}_{pqr}$ represents elements of grade i in the left tensor factor and of grade j in the right tensor factor. Then

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(2,0)}_{pqr}&=\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_r^{\alpha t}\big(w_{pt}{}^\beta[\mathcal{J}(X_\beta),\mathcal{J}(Y_q)]+w_{qt}{}^\beta[\mathcal{J}(Y_p),\mathcal{J}(X_\beta)]\big)\otimes X_\alpha+\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta}[\mathcal{J}(X_\beta),\mathcal{J}(Y_r)]\otimes X_\alpha\\ &=2\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\Big(g_r^{\alpha t}(w_{pt}{}^\beta g_{\beta q}{}^s-w_{qt}{}^\beta g_{\beta p}{}^s)+\sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^\mu f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta r}{}^s\Big)\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}g_s^{\gamma u}[\mathcal{J}(Y_u),\mathcal{J}(X_\gamma)]\otimes X_\alpha\\ &\quad+\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\Big(g_r^{\alpha t}(w_{pt}{}^\beta g_q^{\gamma u}-w_{qt}{}^\beta g_p^{\gamma u})+\sum_\mu w_{pq}{}^\mu f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta}g_r^{\gamma u}\Big)\sum_{ijk}\beta^{ijk}_{\beta u\gamma}\{x_i,x_j,x_k\}\otimes X_\alpha\\ &=2\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{pq}{}^\beta g_{\beta r}{}^t g_t^{\alpha s}g_s^{\gamma u}\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}[\mathcal{J}(Y_u),\mathcal{J}(X_\gamma)]\otimes X_\alpha+\mathcal{O}_1(\hbar^2), \end{aligned} \tag{7.55}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_1(\hbar^2)$ is a short–hand notation for the terms in the third line, and we have used the mixed Jacobi identities and the Drinfel'd terrific relation (5.22) in the form

$$[\mathcal{J}(X_\beta),\mathcal{J}(X_q)]+\frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}\,g_{\beta q}{}^s g_s^{\gamma u}[\mathcal{J}(X_\gamma),\mathcal{J}(Y_u)]=\frac{\hbar^2}{2\,\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}}\sum_\gamma\sum_{ijk} g_q^{\gamma u}\beta^{ijk}_{\beta u\gamma}\{x_i,x_j,x_k\}.$$

Here the sum $\sum_i x_i$ spans all of the symmetric space basis, $x_i=\{Y_p,X_\alpha\}$; the same applies to x_j and x_k. In a similar way we find

$$\begin{aligned} B^{(0,2)}_{pqr}&=\sum_\alpha g_r^{\alpha t}Y_t\otimes\big(g_{p\alpha}{}^u[\mathcal{B}(Y_u),\mathcal{B}(Y_q)]+g_{q\alpha}{}^u[\mathcal{B}(Y_p),\mathcal{B}(Y_u)]\big)+\sum_\alpha w_{pq}{}^\alpha w_\alpha^{st}\,Y_t\otimes[\mathcal{B}(Y_s),\mathcal{B}(X_r)]\\ &=\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\Big(g_r^{\alpha t}(g_{p\alpha}{}^u w_{uq}{}^\beta-g_{q\alpha}{}^u w_{up}{}^\beta)+w_{pq}{}^\alpha w_\alpha^{st}w_{sr}{}^\beta\Big)(\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)})^{-1}w_\beta^{vs}\,Y_t\otimes[\mathcal{B}(Y_s),\mathcal{B}(Y_v)]\\ &\quad+\hbar^2\sum_{\alpha,\lambda,\mu,\nu}\Big(g_r^{\alpha t}(g_{p\alpha}{}^u\Lambda^{\lambda\mu\nu}_{uq}-g_{q\alpha}{}^u\Lambda^{\lambda\mu\nu}_{up})+w_{pq}{}^\alpha w_\alpha^{st}\,\Lambda^{\lambda\mu\nu}_{sr}\Big)Y_t\otimes\{X_\lambda,X_\mu,X_\nu\}\\ &=\sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{\alpha r}{}^t g_t^{\beta t}w_\beta^{vs}(\bar{\mathfrak{c}}_{(\beta)})^{-1}\,Y_t\otimes[\mathcal{B}(Y_s),\mathcal{B}(Y_v)]+\mathcal{O}_2(\hbar^2), \end{aligned} \tag{7.56}$$

where we have used the mixed Jacobi identities and the horrific relation (5.27). The notation for $\mathcal{O}_2(\hbar^2)$ is the same as before. The remaining elements give

$$B^{(1,1)}_{pqr}=\sum_{\mu,\beta} w_{pq}{}^\mu(w_\mu^{st}w_{tr}{}^\beta+\sum_\alpha f_\mu{}^{\alpha\beta}g_{\alpha r}{}^s)\mathcal{J}(X_\beta)\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_s)=\sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{\alpha r}{}^t g_t^{s\beta}\mathcal{J}(X_\beta)\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_s). \tag{7.57}$$

Now it is an easy calculation to check that the leading terms in (7.55), (7.56) and (7.57) do not contribute to $\Delta_\hbar(H^{(3)}_{pqr})$, since

$$\sum_\beta w_{pq}{}^\beta g_{\beta r}{}^t + 2\,\mathfrak{c}_\mathfrak{g}^{-1}\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\kappa_\mathfrak{m}^{uv} w_{pq}{}^\alpha g_{r\alpha}{}^s w_{su}{}^\beta g_{\beta v}{}^t = 0, \tag{7.58}$$

by (2.11). Next step is to consider the grade one term in (7.53). We write $B^{(1)}_{pqr} = B^{(1,0)}_{pqr} + B^{(0,1)}_{pqr}$. Using (7.48), (7.50) and (5.9) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{(1,0)}_{pqr} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu \Big(\sum_{\beta,\gamma} f_\mu^{\alpha\beta} g_r^{\gamma s}[\mathcal{J}(X_\beta)\otimes X_\alpha, 2Y_s\otimes X_\gamma + \{Y_s, X_\gamma\}\otimes 1] + w_\mu^{su} g_u^{t\alpha}[\{Y_s,Y_t\},\mathcal{J}(Y_r)]\otimes X_\alpha\Big)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu g_r^{\gamma u}\Big(w_\mu^{st}[\{\mathcal{J}(Y_s),Y_t\},Y_u] + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} f_\mu^{\alpha\beta}[\{\mathcal{J}(X_\beta),X_\alpha\},Y_u]\Big)\otimes X_\gamma\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{st}{}^\gamma (g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t} + g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t})\,[\{X_\gamma,X_\alpha\}\otimes X_\beta + X_\gamma\otimes\{X_\beta,X_\alpha\}, \mathcal{J}(Y_r)\otimes 1]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma,\lambda,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu \Big(\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_r^{\beta s}(2 f_\mu^{\alpha\lambda} f_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \gamma} + f_\mu^{\gamma\alpha} f_{\alpha\beta}^{\ \lambda}) + w_{tr}{}^\lambda (w_\mu^{tu} g_u^{s\gamma} + w_\mu^{su} g_u^{t\gamma})\\
&\qquad\qquad + g_r^{\gamma u}(w_\mu^{ts} w_{tu}{}^\lambda + \sum_\alpha f_\mu^{\alpha\lambda} g_{\alpha u}{}^s)\Big)\{Y_s, J(X_\lambda)\}\otimes X_\gamma\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\mu} (w_{pq}{}^\mu f_\mu^{\alpha\beta} g_r^{\gamma s} g_{\beta s}{}^u + w_{st}{}^\beta g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\gamma t} g_{\beta r}{}^u)\\
&\qquad\qquad \times (2\mathcal{J}(Y_u)\otimes\{X_\alpha,X_\gamma\} + \{X_\alpha,\mathcal{J}(Y_u)\}\otimes X_\gamma + \{X_\gamma,\mathcal{J}(Y_u)\}\otimes X_\alpha)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{ts}{}^\gamma \big(w_{pq}{}^\beta w_\beta^{ut} g_r^{\alpha s} + g_{r\beta}{}^u (g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t} + g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t})\big)\{X_\gamma, \mathcal{J}(Y_u)\}\otimes X_\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.59}$$

The first sum in the last equality can be simplified using the mixed Jacobi identities, giving

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\gamma,\lambda,\mu} w_{pq}{}^\mu g_{\mu r}{}^t \big(g_t^{u\lambda} g_u^{\gamma s} + \sum_\alpha g_t^{\alpha s} f_\alpha^{\gamma\lambda}\big)\{Y_s, J(X_\lambda)\}\otimes X_\gamma.$$

This component does not contribute to $\Delta_\hbar(H^{(3)}_{pqr})$ due to (7.58). The second grade one element, $B^{(0,1)}_{pqr}$, is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
B^{(0,1)}_{pqr} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}{}^\beta \Big(w_\beta^{st} g_r^{\alpha u}\,[Y_t\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_s), 2\,Y_u\otimes X_\alpha + \{Y_u,X_\alpha\}\otimes 1] + w_\beta^{su} g_u^{t\alpha}\,[\{Y_s,Y_t\}\otimes[X_\alpha,\mathcal{B}(Y_r)]\Big)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{st}{}^\gamma (g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t} + g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t})\,[\{X_\gamma,X_\alpha\}\otimes X_\beta + X_\gamma\otimes\{X_\beta,X_\alpha\}, 1\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_r)]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}{}^\beta \big(2\,w_\beta^{st} g_r^{\alpha u} g_{s\alpha}{}^v + w_\beta^{vs} g_r^{\alpha u} g_{s\alpha}{}^t + w_\beta^{ts} g_s^{u\alpha} g_{\alpha r}{}^v\big)\{Y_t,Y_u\}\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_v)\\
&\quad + \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} w_{ts}{}^\gamma \big(w_{pq}{}^\beta w_\beta^{ut} g_r^{\alpha s} + g_{r\beta}{}^u (g_p^{\alpha s} g_q^{\beta t} + g_p^{\beta s} g_q^{\alpha t})\big)\Big(X_\gamma\otimes\{X_\alpha,\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\} + \frac{1}{2}\{X_\alpha,X_\gamma\}\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.60}$$

In the same way as before, the first sum in the last equality above can be simplified to

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta} w_{pq}{}^\beta g_{r\beta}{}^s g_s^{\alpha t} w_\alpha^{uv}\{Y_t,Y_u\}\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_v),$$

and does contribute to $\Delta_\hbar(H^{(3)}_{pqr})$ due to (7.58). Set

$$A^{\alpha\gamma u}_{pqr} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta,\mu}(w_{pq}{}^{\mu} f_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\beta} g_r{}^{\gamma s} g_{\beta s}{}^{u} + w_{st}{}^{\beta} g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\gamma t} g_{\beta r}{}^{u} + (\alpha \leftrightarrow \gamma)),$$

$$B^{\alpha\gamma u}_{pqr} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\beta} w_{ts}{}^{\gamma}\big(w_{pq}{}^{\beta} w_{\beta}{}^{ut} g_r{}^{\alpha s} + g_{r\beta}{}^{u}(g_p{}^{\alpha s} g_q{}^{\beta t} + g_p{}^{\beta s} g_q{}^{\alpha t})\big).$$

Using the mixed Jacobi identities (2.7) we find that

$$\Upsilon^{\alpha\gamma u}_{pqr} = \Big(A^{\alpha\gamma u}_{pqr} + \frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\sum_{\mu}\kappa^{tu}_{\mathfrak{m}}\, w_{pq}{}^{\mu} g_{r\mu}{}^{s} A^{\alpha\gamma u}_{stu} = B^{\alpha\gamma u}_{pqr} + \frac{2}{\mathfrak{c}_{\mathfrak{g}}}\sum_{\mu}\kappa^{tu}_{\mathfrak{m}}\, w_{pq}{}^{\mu} g_{r\mu}{}^{s} B^{\alpha\gamma u}_{stu}\Big) \tag{7.61}$$

satisfying $\Upsilon^{\alpha\gamma u}_{pqr} = \Upsilon^{\gamma\alpha u}_{pqr}$. The contribution of the remaining sums in (7.59) and (7.60) to $\Delta_\hbar(H^{(3)}_{pqr})$ is

$$\sum_{\alpha,\gamma}\Upsilon^{\alpha\gamma u}_{pqr}\Big(\mathcal{J}(Y_u)\otimes\{X_\alpha,X_\gamma\} + 2\,\{X_\alpha,\mathcal{J}(Y_u)\}\otimes X_\gamma + 2\,X_\gamma\otimes\{X_\alpha,\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\} + \{X_\alpha,X_\gamma\}\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\Big). \tag{7.62}$$

By Proposition (5.1) and (5.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta_\hbar(\{X_\alpha,X_\gamma,\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\}) - (\varphi(\{X_\alpha,X_\gamma,\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\})\otimes 1 + 1\otimes\{X_\alpha,X_\gamma,\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\} + \mathcal{O}_3(\hbar))\\ &\quad = \mathcal{J}(Y_u)\otimes\{X_\alpha,X_\gamma\} + \{X_\alpha,X_\gamma\}\otimes\mathcal{B}(Y_u) + (\{\mathcal{J}(Y_u),X_\alpha\}\otimes X_\gamma + X_\gamma\otimes\{X_\alpha,\mathcal{B}(Y_u)\} + (\alpha\leftrightarrow\gamma))\end{aligned}$$

by (5.17); here $\mathcal{O}_3(\hbar)$ denotes the $\hbar$–order terms that appear due to (5.9). Comparing the relation above with (7.62) and using symmetry $\Upsilon^{\alpha\gamma u}_{pqr} = \Upsilon^{\gamma\alpha u}_{pqr}$ gives (5.30), as required. The remaining elements in $\Delta_\hbar(H^{(3)}_{pqr})$ are of cubic order in $\hbar$ and, by summing with $\hbar\,\mathcal{O}_1(\hbar^2)$ of (7.55) and $\hbar\,\mathcal{O}_2(\hbar^2)$ of (7.56), give precisely the element $\hbar^2\,\mathcal{O}_3(\hbar)$.[5] This concludes the proof of the horrific relations (5.27) and (5.28). □

7.3.4. *An outline of a proof of the horrific relation of Theorem 5.3.* We will need the following Lemma, which follows by a direct calculation:

Lemma 7.2. *In any associative algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ the following identities hold:*

$$\{x_i,\{x_j,x_k\}\} = \{x_i,x_j,x_k\} + \frac{1}{12}\,[x_{(j},[x_{k)},x_i]], \tag{7.63}$$

$$\{x_j,x_k,x_l,x_m\} = \frac{1}{3}\{x_j,x_{(k},\{x_l,x_{m)}\}\} - \frac{1}{36}\,[[x_j,x_{(k}],\{x_l,x_{m)}\}], \tag{7.64}$$

and

$$\{1\otimes x_i, 1\otimes x_j, x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\}\} = x_a\otimes\{x_i,x_j,\{x_b,x_c\}\}, \tag{7.65}$$

$$\{x_i\otimes 1, 1\otimes x_j, x_a\otimes\{x_b,x_c\}\} = \{x_i,x_a\}\otimes\{x_j,\{x_b,x_c\}\} - \frac{1}{12}\,[x_a,x_i]\otimes[x_j,\{x_b,x_c\}], \tag{7.66}$$

$$\{x_i\otimes 1, 1\otimes x_j, \{x_b,x_c\}\otimes x_a\} = \{x_i,\{x_b,x_c\}\}\otimes\{x_j,x_a\} - \frac{1}{12}\,[\{x_b,x_c\},x_i]\otimes[x_j,x_a]. \tag{7.67}$$

Denote the level-4 horrific relation (5.33) by

$$H^{(4)}_{abc} = \hbar^2\Psi^{ijk}_{abc}\{x_i,x_j,\mathcal{G}(x_k)\} + \hbar^4\big(\Phi^{ijk}_{abc}\{x_i,x_j,x_k\} + \bar{\Phi}^{ijklm}_{abc}\{x_i,x_j,x_k,x_l,x_m\}\big). \tag{7.68}$$

It holds for some set of coefficients Ψ^{ijk}_{abc}, Φ^{ijk}_{abc}, $\bar{\Phi}^{ijklm}_{abc}\in\mathbb{C}$. We will find these coefficients by acting with coaction $\Delta_\hbar$ on (7.68) and equating the numeric factors of the selected algebra elements on both sides of the equation. These elements are

$$\{x_i,x_j\}\otimes\mathcal{G}(x_k), \qquad x_i\otimes\{x_j,x_k\}, \qquad x_i\otimes\{x_j,x_k,\{x_l,x_m\}\}.$$

[5]This equality is very large and not illuminative, thus we have chosen not to spell it out explicitly.

All the remaining elements will be referred as unwanted terms (UWT). Denote $G_{ad}=[\mathcal{G}(x_a),\mathcal{G}(x_d)]$. Then $H^{(4)}_{abc}=\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}G_{c)d}$. Using Proposition 5.2 we write

$$\Delta_\hbar(G_{cd})=\varphi(G_{cd})\otimes 1+1\otimes G_{cd}+\hbar\,G^{(3)}_{cd}+\hbar^2\,G^{(2)}_{cd}+\hbar^3\,G^{(1)}_{cd}+\hbar^4\,G^{(0)}_{cd},$$

where $G^{(i)}_{cd}$ denote elements of grade i and can be computed explicitly using (5.12) and (5.13). For our purpose we only need to consider the selected algebra elements of $G^{(2)}_{cd}$ and $G^{(0)}_{cd}$. For $G^{(2)}_{cd}$ we have

$$G^{(2)}_{cd}=(\alpha_{cr}{}^{k}\bar h^{rij}_{d}-\alpha_{dr}{}^{k}\bar h^{rij}_{c})\,\{x_i,x_j\}\otimes\mathcal{G}(x_k)+UWT, \tag{7.69}$$

and for $G^{(0)}_{cd}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}G^{(0)}_{cd}=\;&\alpha_{rs}{}^{i}\,h^{rjk}_{c}\,h^{slm}_{d}\,x_i\otimes\{\{x_j,x_k\},\{x_l,x_m\}\}+\bar h^{jln}_{c}\,\bar h^{krs}_{d}\,[\{x_l,x_n\},\{x_r,x_s\}]\otimes\{x_j,x_k\}\\&+(\bar h^{trs}_{c}\,h^{lmn}_{d}-\bar h^{trs}_{d}h^{lmn}_{c})\{x_l,\{x_r,x_s\}\}\otimes[x_t,\{x_m,x_n\}]+UWT.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 7.2 and symmetries $h^{rjk}_{c}=h^{rkj}_{c}$, $\bar h^{rjk}_{c}=\bar h^{rkj}_{c}$ we reduce the expression above to

$$G^{(0)}_{cd}=\alpha_{rs}{}^{i}\,h^{rjk}_{c}\,h^{slm}_{d}\,x_i\otimes\{x_j,x_k,\{x_l,x_m\}\}+\frac{1}{3}W^{ijk}_{cd}\,x_i\otimes\{x_j,x_k\}+UWT, \tag{7.70}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}W^{ijk}_{cd}=\;&\alpha_{rs}{}^{i}\;h^{rxy}_{c}\,\big(\,h^{szk}_{d}\alpha_{xt}{}^{j}\alpha_{yz}{}^{t}+h^{szt}_{d}\alpha_{xt}{}^{k}\alpha_{yz}{}^{j}\big)\\&+\Big(\big(\bar h^{xyz}_{c}h^{efk}_{d}-\bar h^{xyz}_{d}h^{efk}_{c}\big)\alpha_{ye}{}^{t}\alpha_{zt}{}^{i}\alpha_{xf}{}^{j}+\bar h^{jxy}_{c}\bar h^{kzr}_{d}\alpha_{xr}{}^{s}\big(\alpha_{zy}{}^{t}\alpha_{st}{}^{i}+\alpha_{sy}{}^{t}\alpha_{zt}{}^{i}\big)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Next step is to find the corresponding elements in the rhs of $\Delta_\hbar(H^{(4)}_{abc})$. Using (5.13), (5.18) and Lemma 7.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\hbar(\{x_i,x_j,\mathcal{G}(x_k)\})=\;&\{x_i,x_j\}\otimes\mathcal{G}(x_k)+\hbar^2\,h^{abc}_{k}x_a\otimes\{x_i,x_j,\{x_b,x_c\}\}\\&+\frac{1}{6}\,\hbar^2\,\bar h^{abc}_{k}\big(\alpha_{bi}{}^{r}\alpha_{cr}{}^{s}\,x_s\otimes\{x_j,x_a\}+\alpha_{bj}{}^{r}\alpha_{cr}{}^{s}\,x_s\otimes\{x_i,x_a\}\big)\\&-\frac{1}{6}\,\hbar^2\,h^{abc}_{k}(\alpha_{ai}{}^{r}\alpha_{jb}{}^{s}+\alpha_{aj}{}^{r}\alpha_{ib}{}^{s})\,x_r\otimes\{x_s,x_c\}+UWT,\\\Delta_\hbar(\{x_i,x_j,x_k\})=\;&x_{(i}\otimes\{x_j,x_{k)}\}+UWT,\\\Delta_\hbar(\{x_i,x_j,x_k,x_l,x_m\})=\;&\frac{1}{3}x_{(i}\otimes\{x_j,x_{(k},\{x_l,x_{m))}\}\}-\frac{1}{36}\,x_{(i}\otimes[[x_j,x_{(k}],\{x_l,x_{m))}\}]+UWT.\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\hbar(H^{(4)}_{abc})=\;&\hbar^2\,\Psi^{ijk}_{abc}\{x_i,x_j\}\otimes\mathcal{G}(x_k)+\hbar^4\left(\frac{1}{3}\bar\Phi^{(ij(klm))}_{abc}+\Psi^{jkr}_{abc}\,h^{ilm}_{r}\right)x_i\otimes\{x_j,x_k,\{x_l,x_m\}\}+UWT\\&+\hbar^4\Big(\Phi^{(ijk)}_{abc}-\frac{1}{36}\bar\Phi^{(ix(y(zj)))}_{abc}\alpha_{xy}{}^{r}\alpha_{rz}{}^{k}+\frac{1}{6}\big(\Psi^{(xj)y}_{abc}\,\bar h^{kzr}_{y}\alpha_{zx}{}^{s}\alpha_{rs}{}^{i}+\Psi^{(xy)z}_{abc}\,h^{rsk}_{z}\alpha_{rx}{}^{i}\alpha_{ys}{}^{j}\big)\Big)\,x_i\otimes\{x_j,x_k\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by plugging (7.69) and (7.70) into $\Delta_\hbar(\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}G_{c)d})=\Delta_\hbar(H^{(4)}_{abc})$ and comparing the elements on the both sides of the obtained equation we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi^{ijk}_{abc}=\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}\alpha_{c)r}{}^{k}\,\bar h^{rij}_{d}-\alpha_{dr}{}^{k}\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}\,\bar h^{rij}_{c)},\\&\bar\Phi^{(ij(klm))}_{abc}=3\big(\alpha_{rs}{}^{i}\,\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}h^{rjk}_{c)}\,h^{slm}_{d}-\Psi^{jkr}_{abc}\,h^{ilm}_{r}\big),\\&\Phi^{(ijk)}_{abc}=\frac{1}{3}\alpha_{(ab}{}^{d}W^{ijk}_{c)d}+\frac{1}{18}\bar\Phi^{(ix(yzj))}_{abc}\alpha_{xy}{}^{r}\alpha_{rz}{}^{k}-\frac{1}{3}\big(\Psi^{xjy}_{abc}\,\bar h^{kzr}_{y}\alpha_{zx}{}^{s}\alpha_{rs}{}^{i}+\Psi^{xyz}_{abc}\,h^{rsk}_{z}\alpha_{rx}{}^{i}\alpha_{ys}{}^{j}\big),\end{aligned}$$

where in the last expression we used the symmetries $\Psi^{ijk}_{abc} = \Psi^{jik}_{abc}$ and $\Phi^{(ij(klm))}_{abc} = \Phi^{(ij(kml))}_{abc}$. Finally, using the identities

$$\frac{1}{3}\,\Phi^{(ijk)}_{abc}\{x_i,x_j,x_k\} = \Phi^{ijk}_{abc}\{x_i,x_j,x_k,x_l,x_m\},$$
$$\frac{1}{15}\,\bar{\Phi}^{(ij(klm))}_{abc}\{x_i,x_j,x_k,x_l,x_m\} = \Phi^{ijklm}_{abc}\{x_i,x_j,x_k,x_l,x_m\},$$

we obtain (5.34), (5.35) and (5.36).

To complete the proof we need to calculate all elements in $\mathcal{A}_\hbar(H^{(4)}_{abc})$ as we did in the proof of Theorem 5.2 above. Here we will not attempt to reach this goal. To give all the details of the proof would take more pages than the present paper contains itself, and thus we refrain from making such an attempt. An important question is whether the coefficients Ψ^{ijk}_{abc}, Φ^{ijk}_{abc} and $\bar{\Phi}^{ijklm}_{abc}$ can be written in an elegant and compact form. We have not succeeded in accomplishing this, so we will leave it as an open question for further study.

References

[Ara 62] S. Araki, *On root systems and an infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces*, Journal of Math. **70** (1962) 1.

[Yan 67] C. N. Yang., *Some exact results for the many-body problem in one dimension with repulsive delta-function interaction*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967) 1312.

[Bax 72] R. J. Baxter, *Partition function of the eight-vertex lattice model*, Ann. Phys. (NY) **70** (1972) 193.

[Hel 78] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, San Diego (1978).

[FST 79] L. D. Faddeev, E. K. Sklyanin and L. A. Takhtajan, *Quantum inverse scattering problem method,* Theor. Math. Phys. **40** (1979) 194.

[FadTak 81] L. D. Faddeev and L. A. Takhtajan, *Spectrum and scattering of excitations in the one dimensional isotropic Heisenberg model*, Zap. Nau. Len. Mat. **109** (1981) 134.

[Bax 82] R. J. Baxter, *Exactly solved models in statistical mechanics*, Academic Press, London (1982).

[Che 84] I. V. Cherednik, *Factorizing particles on the half-line and root systems*, Teor. Mat. Fiz. **61** (1984) 35-44.

[Dri 85] V. G. Drinfeld, *Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation*, Sov. Math. Doklady **32** (1985) 254-258.

[FadRes 86] L. D. Faddeev and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, *Integrability of the principal chiral field models in* $1+1$ *dimension*, Annals of physics **167** (1986) 227.

[Dri 87] V. G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Berkeley, 1986, A. .M. Gleason (ed), 798-820, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

[Skl 88] E. K. Sklyanin, *Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems*, J. Phys. **A 21** (1988) 2375-2389.

[FRT 89] L. D. Faddeev, N. Y. .Reshetikhin and L. A. Takhtajan, *Quantization of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, Leningrad Math. J. **1** (1990) 193 [Alg. Anal. **1** (1989) 178].

[Ols 90] G. I. Olshanskii, *Twisted Yangians and infinite dimensional classical Lie algebras*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1510**, Proceedings Leningrad (1990), Springer.

[Dri 92] V. G. Drinfeld, *On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory*, Lect. Notes in Math. **1510**, Quantum Groups (1992), Springer.

[Ko 93] T. Koornwinder, *Askey-Wilson polynomials as zonal spherical functions on the SU(2) quantum group*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **24** (1993) 795813.

[ChaPre 94] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994).

[BFGP 94] P. Bonneau, M. Flato, M. Gerstenhaber and G. Pinczon, *The hidden group structure of quantum groups: strong duality, rigidity, and preferred deformations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **161** (1994), 125-156.

[EtiKaz 95] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of Poisson algebraic groups and Poisson homogeneous spaces*, Symetries quantiques (Les Houces, 1995), 935-946, North-olland, Amsterdam, (1998), `q-alg/9510020`.

[EtiKaz 96] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, *Quantization of Lie bi-algebras, I*, Selecta Math., **2** (1996) n. **1**, 1-41, `q-alg/9506005`.

[MNO 96] A. Molev, M. Nazarov and G. Olshanski, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Russ. Math. Surv. **51** (1996), 205.

[DMS 01] G. W. Delius, N. J. MacKay and B. J. Short, *Boundary remnant of Yangian symmetry and the structure of rational reflection matrices*, Phys. Lett. B **522** (2001) 335, [Erratum-ibid. B **524** (2002) 401] `hep-th/0109115`.

[Le 02] G. Letzter, *Coideal subalgebras and quantum symmetric pairs*, in New directions in Hopf algebras, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. **43**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2002) 117, `math/0103228`.

[Mac 02] N. J. MacKay, *Rational K matrices and representations of twisted Yangians*, J. Phys. A **35** (2002) 7865, `math/0205155`.

[MolRag 02] A. I. Molev and E. Ragoucy, *Representations of reflection algebras*, Rev. Math. Phys. **14** (2002) 317, `math/0107213`.

[MRS 03] A. I. Molev, E. Ragoucy and P. Sorba, *Coideal subalgebras in quantum affine algebras*, Rev. Math. Phys. **15** (2003) 789, `math/0208140`.

[AACDFR 04] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampe, A. Doikou, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, *General boundary conditions for the $sl(N)$ and $sl(M|N)$ open spin chains*, J. Stat. Mech. **0408** (2004) P08005, `math-ph/0406021`.

[Mac 04] N. J. MacKay, *Introduction to Yangian symmetry in integrable field theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **20** (2005) 7189, `hep-th/0409183`.

[Bas 05] P. Baseilhac, *Deformed Dolan-Grady relations in quantum integrable models*, Nucl. Phys. **B 709** (2005) 491, `hep-th/0404149`

P. Baseilhac, *An integrable structure related with tridiagonal algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B 705** (2005) 605, `math-ph/0408025`.

[BasBel 10] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard, *Generalized q-Onsager algebras and boundary affine Toda field theories*, Lett. Math. Phys. **93** (2010) 213, `arXiv:0906.1215`.

[Oha 10] J. Ohayon, *Quantization of coisotropic subalgebras in complex semi-simple Lie algebras*, preprint, `arXiv:1005.1371`.

[MacReg 10] N. MacKay and V. Regelskis, *Yangian symmetry of the Y=0 maximal giant graviton*, JHEP **1012** (2010) 076, `arXiv:1010.3761`.

[BasBel 11] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard, *The central extension of the reflection equations and an analog of Miki's formula*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **44** (2011) 415205, `arXiv:1104.1591`.

[MacReg 11] N. MacKay and V. Regelskis, *Achiral boundaries and the twisted Yangian of the D5-brane*, JHEP **1108** (2011) 019, `arXiv:1105.4128`.

[Zam 11] M. Zambon, *A construction for coisotropic subalgebras of Lie bi-algebras,* J. of Pure and Applied Algebra **215** (2011) 411, `arXiv:0810.5160`.

[BelFom 12] S. Belliard and V. Fomin, *Generalized q-Onsager algebras and dynamical K matrices*, J. Phys. **A 45** (2012) 025201, `arXiv:1106.1317`.

[BelCra 12] S. Belliard and N. Crampe, *Coideal algebras from twisted Manin triple*, J. of Geom. and Phys. **62** (2012) 2009-2023, `arXiv:1202.2312`.

[Reg 12] V. Regelskis, *Reflection algebras for sl_2 and $gl_{1|1}$*, preprint, `arXiv:1206.6498`.

[Kol 12] S. Kolb, *Quantum symmetric Kac-Moody pairs*, preprint, `arXiv:1207.6036`.

[GCM 13] N. Guay, H. Chen and X. Ma, *Twisted Yangians, twisted quantum loop algebras and affine Hecke algebras of type BC*, preprint, `http://www.math.ualberta.ca/~nguay/publications.html`.

[BasBel 13] P. Baseilhac and S. Belliard S, *The half-infinite XXZ chain in Onsager's approach*, Nucl. Phys. **B873** (2013), 550, `arXiv:1211.6304`.

E-mail address: `samuel.belliard@univ-montp2.fr, v.regelskis@surrey.ac.uk`

Laboratoire Charles Coulomb L2C, UMR 5221, CNRS, F-34095 Montpellier, France

Department of Mathematics, University of Surrey, Guildford, GU2 7XH, UK